arXiv:1110.2604v1 [math.PR] 12 Oct 2011

Short time kernel asymptotics for Young SDE by means of Watanabe distribution theory *

Yuzuru INAHAMA †

Abstract

In this paper we study short time asymptotics of a density function of the solution of a stochastic differential equation driven by fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ when the coefficient vector fields satisfy an ellipticity condition at the starting point. We prove both on-diagonal and off-diagonal asymptotics under mild additional assumptions. Our main tool is Malliavin calculus, in particular, Watanabe's theory of generalized Wiener functionals.

1 Introduction

Let $(w_t)_{t \ge 0}$ be the standard d-dimensional Brownian motion and let V_i $(0 \le i \le d)$ be smooth vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^n$ with sufficient regularity. Consider the following stochastic differential equation (SDE) of Stratonovich-type;

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i(y_t) \circ dw_t^i + V_0(y_t)dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

If the set of vector fields satisfies a hypoellipticity condition, the solution $y_t = y_t(a)$ has a smooth density $p_t(a, a')$ with respect to Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^n$. From an analytic point of view, $p_t(a, a')$ is a fundamental solution of the parabolic equation $\partial u / \partial t = Lu$, where $L = V_0 + (1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i^2$, and is also called a heat kernel of L.

In many fields of mathematics such as probability, analysis, mathematical physics, and differential geometry, short time asymptotic of $p_t(a, a')$ is a very important problem and has been studied extensively. Although analytic methods are also well-known, we

---

*__Mathematics Subject Classification:__ 60H07, 60F99, 60H10, 60G15. **Keywords:** fractional Brownian motion, Young integral, stochastic differential equation, Malliavin calculus, Watanabe distribution, short time asymptotics.

†Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan. Email: `inahama@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp`

only discuss a probabilistic approach via Feynman-Kac formula in this paper. Malliavin calculus is a very powerful theory and was used in many papers on this problem.

Among them, S. Watanabe's result seems to be one of the best. (See [20] or Sections 5.8–5.10, [8].) First, he constructed a theory of generalized Wiener functionals (i.e., Watanabe distributions) in Malliavin calculus. Then, he gave a representation of the heat kernel by using the pullback of Dirac's delta function; $p_t(a, a') = \mathbb{E}[\delta_{a'}(y_t(a))]$, where the right hand side is the generalized expectation with respect to Wiener measure. Finally, by establishing an asymptotic expansion theory in the spaces of generalized Wiener functionals, he obtained a short time expansion of $p_t(a, a')$ under very mild assumptions. In this method, an asymptotic expansion is actually obtained before taking the generalized expectation.

In this paper we consider the following problem. Let $(w_t^H)_{t \geq 0}$ be d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$. Instead of the above SDE, we consider

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i(y_t) dw_t^{H,i} + V_0(y_t) dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

This is an ordinary differential equation (ODE) in the sense of Young integral (see Lyons [12]). In fact, this is actually an ODE with a random driving path, but we call this SDE for simplicity. Some researchers have studied the solution of the above SDE with Malliavin calculus. See [16, 7, 17, 1, 5] and references therein. Under the ellipticity or the hypoellipticity conditon, the solution $y_t = y_t(a)$ has a smooth density $p_t(a, a')$. See [7, 17, 1].

In this paper, by using Malliavin calculus and, in particular, Watanabe distribution theory, we will prove a short asymptotic expansion of this density in the elliptic case under mild assumptions. This kind of asymptotics was already studied in [1, 2], but without Malliavin calculus. In [1], they showed on-diagonal short time asymptotics when $V_0 \equiv 0$. In [2], by using Laplace's method, they showed off-diagonal short time asymptotics when $V_0 \equiv 0$ and the vector fields V_i's satisfy a rahter special condition. Our results is a generalization of these preceding ones. Notice that we do not assume the drift term V_0 is zero. One may think this is just a minor generalization, but this makes the asymptotic expansion much more complicated.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we give settings, assumptions, and precise statements of two main theorems. In Section 3, we recall basic properties of a Young ODE and its Jacobian process for later use. In Section 4, we review Watanabe's theory of generalized Wiener functionals in Malliavin calculus. In Section 5, we discuss the solution of Young ODE driven by fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ from the viewpoint of Malliavin calculus. We also prove uniform non-degeneracy of Malliavin covariance matrix of the solution under the ellipticity condition. In Section 6, we prove one of our main theorems, namely, on-diagonal asymptotics of the kernel. In section 7, we show the shifted solution of the Young SDE admits an asymptotic expansion in the sense of Watanabe distribution theory. In Section 8, we prove the other of our main theorems, namely, off-diagonal asymptotics of the kernel. In Appendix, we make sure that Baudoin and Ouyang's result in [2] is basically included in ours.

2 Setting and main results

2.1 Setting

In this subsection, we introduce a stochastic process that will play a main role in this paper. From now on, dropping the superscript "H", we denote by $(w_t)_{t\geq 0} = (w_t^1, \ldots, w_t^d)_{t\geq 0}$ the d-dimensional fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter H ($1/2 < H < 1$). It is a unique d-dimensional mean-zero Gaussian process with covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[w_s^i w_t^j] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2}(|s|^{2H} + |t|^{2H} - |t-s|^{2H}), \qquad (s, t \geq 0).$$

Note that, for any $c > 0$, $(w_{ct})_{t\geq 0}$ and $(c^H w_t)_{t\geq 0}$ have the same law. This property is called self-similarity or scale invariance.

Let $V_i : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be C_b^∞, that is, V_i is a bounded smooth function with bounded derivatives of all order ($0 \leq i \leq d$). We consider the following stochastic ODE in the sense of Young;

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i(y_t)dw_t^i + V_0(y_t)dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{2.1}$$

We will sometimes write $y_t = y_t(a) = y_t(a, w)$ etc. to make explicit the dependence on a and w.

2.2 Assumptions

In this subsection we introduce assumptions of the main theorems. First, we assume the ellipticity of the coefficient of (2.1) at the starting point $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

(A1): The set of vectors $\{V_1(a), \ldots, V_d(a)\}$ linearly spans $\mathbf{R}^n$.

It is known that, under Assumption **(A1)**, the law of the solution y_t has a density $p_t(a, a')$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^n$ for any $t > 0$ (see [1, 17]). Hence, for any measurable set $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $\mathbb{P}(y_t \in U) = \int_U p_t(a, a')da'$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^H$ be the Cameron-Martin space of fBm (w_t). For $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\phi_t^0 = \phi_t^0(\gamma)$ be the solution of the following Young ODE;

$$d\phi_t^0 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i(\phi_t^0)d\gamma_t^i \qquad \text{with} \qquad \phi_0^0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{2.2}$$

Set, for $a \neq a'$,

$$K_a^{a'} = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \mid \phi_1^0(\gamma) = a'\}.$$

If we assume **(A1)** for all a, this set $K_a^{a'}$ is not empty. If $K_a^{a'}$ is not empty, it is a Hilbert submanifold of $\mathcal{H}$. From the Schilder-type large deviation theory, it is easy to see that $\inf\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\} = \min\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\}$. Now we introduce the following assumption;

(A2): $\bar{\gamma} \in K_a^{a'}$ which minimizes $\mathcal{H}$-norm exists uniquely.

In the sequel, $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the minimizer in Assumption **(A2)**. We also assume that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$ is not so degenerate at $\bar{\gamma}$ in the following sense.

(A3): At $\bar{\gamma}$, the Hessian of the functional $K_a^{a'} \ni \gamma \mapsto \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$ is strictly larger than $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{H}^H}/2$ in the form sense. More precisely, If $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \ni u \mapsto f(u) \in K_a^{a'}$ is a smooth curve in $K_a^{a'}$ such that $f(0) = \bar{\gamma}$ and $f'(0) \neq 0$, then $(d/du)^2|_{u=0}\|f(u)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2 > \|f'(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$.

Later we will give a more analytical condition **(A3)'**, which is equivalent to **(A3)** under **(A2)**. In [20], Watanabe used **(A3)'**. We will also use **(A3)'** in the proof. In order to state **(A3)'**, however, we have to introduce a lot of notations. So, we presented **(A3)** here for convenience.

2.3 Index sets

In this subsection we introduce several index sets for the exponent of the small parameter $\varepsilon > 0$, which will be used in the asymptotic expansion. Unlike in the preceding papers, index sets in this paper are not the set of natural numbers and are rather complicated. Set

$$\Lambda_1 = \{n_1 + \frac{n_2}{H} \mid n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}\},$$

where $\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$. We denote by $0 = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots$ all the elements of Λ_1 in increasing order. Several smallest elements are explicitly given as follows;

$$\kappa_1 = 1, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{H}, \quad \kappa_3 = 2, \quad \kappa_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{H}, \quad \kappa_5 = 3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}, \ldots$$

As usual, using the scale invariance (i.e., self-similarity) of fBm, we will consider the scaled version of (2.1). (See the scaled Young ODE (6.1) below). From its explicit form, one can easily see why Λ_1 appears.

We also set

$$\Lambda_2 = \{\kappa - 1 \mid \kappa \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0\}\} = \Big\{0, \frac{1}{H} - 1, 1, \frac{1}{H}, (3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}) - 1, \ldots\Big\}$$

and

$$\Lambda_2' = \{\kappa - 2 \mid \kappa \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0, 1, 1/H\}\} = \Big\{0, \frac{1}{H} - 1, (3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}) - 2, \ldots\Big\}.$$

Next we set

$$\Lambda_3 = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m \mid m \in \mathbf{N}_+ \text{ and } a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \Lambda_2\}.$$

In the sequel, $\{0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots\}$ stands for all the elements of Λ_3 in increasing order. Similarly,

$$\Lambda_3' = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m \mid m \in \mathbf{N}_+ \text{ and } a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \Lambda_2'\}.$$

In the sequel, $\{0 = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < \cdots\}$ stands for all the elements of Λ_3' in increasing order. Finally,

$$\Lambda_4 = \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_3' = \{\nu + \rho \mid \nu \in \Lambda_3, \rho \in \Lambda_3'\}.$$

We denote by $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots\}$ all the elements of Λ_4 in increasing order.

2.4 Statement of the main results

In this subsection we state two main results of ours, which are basically analogous to the corresponding ones in Watanabe [20]. However, there are some differences. First, the exponents of t are not (a constant multiple of) natural numbers. Second, cancellation of "odd terms" as in p. 20 and p. 34, [20] does not happen in general in our case. (If the drift term in Young ODE (2.1) is zero, then this kind of cancellation takes place as in [1, 2]).

The following is a short time asymptotic expansion of the diagonal of the kernel function. This is much easier than the off-diagonal case.

Theorem 2.1 *Assume* **(A1)**. *Then, the diagonal of the kernel $p(t, a, a)$ admits the following asymptotics as $t \searrow 0$;*

$$p(t, a, a) \sim \frac{1}{t^{nH}} \bigl(c_0 + c_{\nu_1} t^{\nu_1 H} + c_{\nu_2} t^{\nu_2 H} + \cdots\bigr)$$

for certain real constants $c_0, c_{\nu_1}, c_{\nu_2}, \ldots$. Here, $\{0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots\}$ are all the elements of Λ_3 in increasing order.

We also have off-diagonal short time asymptotics of the kernel function.

Theorem 2.2 *Assume* **(A1)**–**(A3)**. *Then, we have the following asymptotic expansion as $t \searrow 0$;*

$$p(t, a, a') \sim \exp\Bigl(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2t^{2H}} + \frac{\beta}{t^{2H-1}}\Bigr) \frac{1}{t^{nH}} \bigl\{\alpha_{\lambda_0} + \alpha_{\lambda_1} t^{\lambda_1 H} + \alpha_{\lambda_2} t^{\lambda_2 H} + \cdots\bigr\}$$

for certain real constants $\beta, \alpha_{\lambda_j}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$. Here, $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots\}$ are all the elements of Λ_4 in increasing order.

Remark 2.3 **(i)** *Consider the following simplest case;* $n = d = 1$ *and* $y_t = a + w_t + bt$ *with* $b \in \mathbf{R}$*. Then, for each* $t > 0$*, this induces a Gaussian measure with mean* $a + bt$ *and variance* t^{2H}*. Hence, the kernel is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, a, a') &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} t^H} \exp\Bigl(-\frac{(a + bt - a')^2}{2t^{2H}}\Bigr) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} t^H} e^{-(a-a')^2/(2t^{2H})} e^{-b(a-a')/t^{2H-1}} e^{-b^2 t^{2-2H}/2} \\ &= e^{-(a-a')^2/(2t^{2H}) - b(a-a')/t^{2H-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} t^H} \Bigl(1 - \frac{b^2}{2} t^{2(H^{-1}-1)H} + \frac{b^4}{2^2 2!} t^{4(H^{-1}-1)H} - \cdots\Bigr). \end{aligned}$$

This example may illustrates that asymptotics in Theorem 2.2 is not so strange.
(ii) *Some of the constants in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 can be obtained explicitly. For example, in Theorems 2.1,* $c_0 = [(2\pi)^{n/2} \det(\sigma(a)\sigma(a)^*)]^{-1}$ *and*

$$c_{\nu_1} = c_{(1/H)-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \partial_j \delta_0\bigl(V_1(a) w_1^1 + \cdots + V_d(a) w_1^d\bigr) \cdot V_0(a)^j = 0.$$

Here, $\sigma(a)\sigma(a)^*$ *is the covariance matrix of the* n*-dimensional Gaussian random variable* $\sum_{j=1}^{d} V_j(a) w_1^j$*. In Theorems 2.2,* $\beta = \langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^{1/H} \rangle$*. Notations in this remark will be given later.*

3 Basic properties of Young ODE and L^q-integrability of Jacobian process

In this section we recall the basic properties of a Young ODE and its Jacobian process (i.e., derivative process). These facts scatter in many literatures and it is not so easy to find a suitable one. (In this sense, Lejay [10] may be useful.) Here, we summarize some results for later use.

Most of the contents in this section are not new. The only new part is L^q-integrability of the Jacobian process driven by fBm with Hurst parameter $H > 1/2$ for any $q \geq 1$. (This may also be known to the experts, however, although there seems to be no literature that explicitly proves it.)

We always assume that $1 \leq p < 2$ and the time interval is $[0, 1]$. Let $C^{1/p-hld}([0, 1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C^{p-var}([0, 1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$ be the spaces of $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued $1/p$-Hölder continuous paths and continuous paths of finite p-variations, respectively. The Banach norms are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{1/p-hld} &= |x_0| + \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq 1} \frac{|x_t - x_s|}{(t - s)^{1/p}}, \\ \|x\|_{1/p-var} &= |x_0| + \sup_{\mathcal{P} \subset [0,1]} \Bigl(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |x_{t_i} - x_{t_{i-1}}|^p\Bigr)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where sup runs over all the finite partition $\mathcal{P} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = 1\}$ of $[0,1]$. The closed subspaces of paths that starts at the origin is denoted by $C_0^{1/p-hld}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $C_0^{p-var}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^d)$, respectively.

Let $\sigma : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathrm{Mat}(n,d)$ be sufficiently regular. Consider the following ODE in the Young sense;

$$dy_t = \sigma(y_t)dx_t \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a. \tag{3.1}$$

Here, x is a continuous path in $\mathbf{R}^d$ of finite p-variation and $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ is the initial value. Let $V_i : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be the ith column vector of σ $(1 \le i \le d)$. Then, ODE (3.1) can be rewritten equivalently as follows;

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i(y_t)dx_t^i \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a. \tag{3.2}$$

Some researchers prefer this style. In this paper we will use both (3.1) and (3.2).

If σ is C_b^2, then the above ODE has a unique solution for any given x and a in p-variational setting. Moreover, the map

$$C_0^{p-var}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathbf{R}^n \ni (x,a) \mapsto y \in C^{p-var}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^n) \tag{3.3}$$

is locally Lipschitz continuous (i.e., Lipschitz continuous on any bounded set).

To be more precise, the following well-known propositions hold. (It is important that the estimates in Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 are independent of the initial value a.) In what follows, $p \in [1,2)$ is fixed. We set $K = \max_{0 \le i \le 2} \|\nabla^i \sigma\|_\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the sup-norm.

Proposition 3.1 *Let σ be C_b^2 and $x \in C_0^{p-var}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^d)$ and consider ODE (3.1) in the Young sense. Suppose that a control function ω satisfy that*

$$|x_t - x_s| \le \omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad\qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1).$$

Then, the following **(i)**, **(ii)** *hold:*
(i) *There exists $T_1 \in (0,1]$ such that, on the restricted interval $[0,T_1]$, a unique solution y of (3.1) exists and satisfies the following estimate;*

$$|y_t - y_s| \le 2K\omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad\qquad (0 \le s \le t \le T_1).$$

(T_1 can be chosen as follows. For a certain constant $C \in (0,1]$, which is independent of the initial value a, we may set $T_1 = \sup\{t \in (0,1] \mid \omega(0,t)^{1/p} \le C/(1+K)^2\}$. Then, the above estimate holds.)
(ii) *In the same way as in* **(i)**, *we have a partition $0 = T_0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_k = 1$ of $[0,1]$ and a unique local solution on each subinterval $[T_{i-1}, T_i]$. Concatenating these local solution, we obtain a global unique solution y, which satisfies the following estimate;*

$$|y_t - y_s| \le 2K\Big(1 + \frac{\omega(0,1)}{R}\Big)^{(p-1)/p} \omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad\qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1),$$

where $R = C/(1+K)^2$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let* σ *be* C_b^2 *and* $x, \hat{x} \in C_0^{p-var}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^d)$ *and consider ODE (3.1) in the Young sense. Suppose that* $x, \hat{x}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, *and a control function* ω *satisfy that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
|x_t - x_s|, \quad |\hat{x}_t - \hat{x}_s| &\le \omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad\qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1),\\
|(x_t - x_s) - (\hat{x}_t - \hat{x}_s)| &\le \varepsilon\omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad\qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, the following estimate holds;

$$
|(y_t - y_s) - (\hat{y}_t - \hat{y}_s)| \le \varepsilon\Big(1 + \frac{\omega(0,1)}{R'}\Big)^{(p-1)/p} 2^{1+\omega(0,1)/R'}\omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1).
$$

Here, $R' = C'/(1+K)^2$ *for a certain constant* $C' \in (0, C]$, *which is independent of the initial value* a.

Remark 3.3 *Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 cover the Hölder case, too. For example, if* x *is* $1/p$*-Hölder continuous, we may take* $\omega(s,t) = \|x\|_{1/p-hld}^p (t-s)^{1/p}$ *in Proposition 3.1,* **(i)**. *In particular, local Lipschitz continuity of the Itô map as in (3.3) holds for* $1/p$*-Hölder continuous case, too.*

Now we discuss the Jacobian process (i.e., the derivative process) J of the ODE (3.1), or equivalently (3.2). J_t is a (formal) derivative of the solution flow $a \mapsto y_t = y_t(a)$ of the Young ODE (3.1).

For $v \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we denote the directional derivative along v by $\nabla_v\sigma(y) = \nabla\sigma(y)\langle v, \cdot\rangle$, etc. So, $\nabla\sigma$ takes its values in $L^{(2)}(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^d; \mathbf{R}^n) = (\mathbf{R}^n)^* \otimes (\mathbf{R}^d)^* \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, which is equipped with the usual Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Notations such as $\nabla^i V_j$, $\nabla^2\sigma = \nabla\nabla\sigma$, etc. should be understood in a similar way.

The Jacobian process J takes its values in $\mathrm{Mat}(n,n) = L(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^n)$ and satisfies

$$
dJ_t = \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle J_t, dx_t\rangle \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n. \tag{3.4}
$$

More precisely, by setting $M_t = \int_0^t \nabla\sigma(y_s)\langle\,\cdot\,, dx_s\rangle$, we may rewrite this equation as follows;

$$
dJ_t = dM_t \cdot J_t \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n. \tag{3.5}
$$

The dot on the right hand denotes the matrix multiplication. When we need to specify the driving path, we will write $J(x)$. The equivalent equation for J that corresponds to (3.2) is as follows;

$$
dJ_t = \sum_{i=1}^d \nabla V_i(y_t)\langle J_t\rangle dx_t^i \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n. \tag{3.6}
$$

It is known that the system of Young ODEs (3.1) and (3.4) has a unique solution (y, J). We need a nice estimate for J in order to prove that Hölder norm of J has all finite moments when the driving path x is fBm with $H > 1/2$. Hu and Nualart [7] proved that $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |J_t| \in \cap_{q \ge 1} L^q$, but we will estimate Hölder norm of J below.

Since (3.4) is linear, the solution can be written explicitly as follows.

$$J_t = \Big(\mathrm{Id}_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M^{[k]}_{s,t}\Big) J_s \qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$M^{[k]}_{s,t} = \int_{0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_k \le 1} dM_{t_k} \cdots dM_{t_2} dM_{t_1}. \tag{3.8}$$

By a special case of Lyons's extension theorem (p.35, [13]), we have the following estimate (3.9) below. Assume that M satisfies $|M^{[1]}_{s,t}| \le \hat{\omega}(s,t)^{1/p}/\beta(1/p)!$ for a control function $\hat{\omega}$ on a certain times interval $[a,b]$, where $\beta > 0$ is a constant such that $\beta/p^2 \ge 1 + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \big(\frac{2}{k-2}\big)^{([p]+1)/p}$. Then, we have

$$|M^{[k]}_{s,t}| \le \frac{\hat{\omega}(s,t)^{k/p}}{\beta (k/p)!}, \qquad (a \le s \le t \le b, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}_+). \tag{3.9}$$

Let T_1 be as in Proposition 3.1. On this interval,

$$|x_t - x_s| + |y_t - y_s| \le (2K+1)\omega(s,t)^{1/p} \qquad (0 \le s \le t \le T_1).$$

Moreover, the following map from $\mathbf{R}^n$ to $L(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathrm{Mat}(n,n))$

$$\mathbf{R}^n \ni \tilde{y} \mapsto \big[\tilde{x} \in \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \nabla\sigma(\tilde{y})\langle\,\cdot\,, \tilde{x}\rangle \in \mathrm{Mat}(n,n)\big]$$

is bounded and globally Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant K. Hence, by the theory of Young integral, there exists a positive constant $c_{1,K} = c_{1,K,p}$ such that $|M^{[1]}_{s,t}| = |M_t - M_s| \le c_{1,K}\omega(s,t)^{1/p}$ for all $0 \le s \le t \le T_1$. If we choose such a $\beta > 0$ and set $\hat{\omega}(s,t)^{1/p} = c_{1,K}\beta(1/p)! \cdot \omega(s,t)^{1/p}$, we may use Lyons's extension theorem for this control function $\hat{\omega}$ and obtain (3.9). Note that $\hat{\omega}(0,T_1)^{1/p} \le c_{2,K}$ for some constant $c_{2,K} = c_{2,K,p} > 0$ which may depend only on K, p.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |M^{[k]}_{s,t}| &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\omega}(s,t)^{k/p}}{\beta(k/p)!} \le \frac{\hat{\omega}(s,t)^{1/p}}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\hat{\omega}(s,t)^{(k-1)/p}}{(k/p)!} \\ &\le \frac{\hat{\omega}(s,t)^{1/p}}{\beta} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2,K}^{(k-1)/p}}{(k/p)!} \le c_{3,K}\omega(s,t)^{1/p}, \qquad (0 \le s \le t \le T_1).\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Consequently, $\sup_{0 \le t \le T_1} |J_t| \le 1 + c_{3,K}C/(1+K)^2 = c_{4,K}$ and

$$|J_t - J_s| \le |J_s| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |M^{[k]}_{s,t}| \le c_{4,K}c_{3,K}\omega(s,t)^{1/p}, \qquad (0 \le s \le t \le T_1).$$

Here, $c_{3,K}$ and $c_{4,K}$ may depend on K, p, (and the choice of β), but not on the initial value a.

Let $0 = T_0 < T_1 < T_2 < \cdots < T_N = 1$ be a partition of $[0,1]$ such that

$$\omega(0,T_1) = \cdots = \omega(T_{N-2},T_{N-1}) = R \geq \omega(T_{N-1},1),$$

where $R = C/(1+K)^2$. Note that $N-1 \leq \omega(0,1)/R$ holds since ω is superadditive. Then, on each subinterval $[T_{j-1},T_j]$, we have Proposition 3.1, **(i)** and hence, we have the inequality (3.10) for $T_{j-1} \leq s \leq t \leq T_j$. Note that we can take the same constant $c_{3,K}$. By induction, we can easily see that $\sup_{T_{j-1}\leq t\leq T_j} |J_t| \leq c_{4,K}^j$ and that

$$|J_t - J_s| \leq |J_s| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |M_{s,t}^{[k]}| \leq c_{4,K}^j c_{3,K} \omega(s,t)^{1/p}, \qquad (T_{j-1} \leq s \leq t \leq T_j).$$

Therefore, on the whole interval, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_t - J_s| &\leq c_{4,K}^N c_{3,K} \big(1 + \frac{\omega(0,1)}{R}\big)^{(p-1)/p} \omega(s,t)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c_{3,K} \exp\big[(1 + \frac{\omega(0,1)}{R}) \log c_{4,K}\big] \big(1 + \frac{\omega(0,1)}{R}\big)^{(p-1)/p} \omega(s,t)^{1/p} \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$. This shows that p-variation norm of J is of exponential order of $\omega(0,1)$. In particular, if x is $1/p$-Hölder continuous, we can take $\omega(s,t) = \|x\|_{1/p-hld}^p (t-s)$ and we have from (3.11) that

$$\|J\|_{1/p-hld} \leq 1 + c_{5,K}(1 + \|x\|_{1/p-hld}^p) \exp(c_{6,K} \|x\|_{1/p-hld}^p). \tag{3.12}$$

Here, positive constants $c_{5,K}$ and $c_{6,K}$ depend only on K, p, (and the choice of β).

Next we consider J^{-1}. Instead of (3.5), (3.7), and (3.8), we have similar equations as follows;

$$dJ_t^{-1} = -J_t^{-1} \cdot dM_t \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_0^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}_n, \tag{3.13}$$

$$J_t^{-1} = J_s^{-1}\Big(\mathrm{Id}_n + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{M}_{s,t}^{[k]}\Big), \qquad (0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\text{where} \qquad \tilde{M}_{s,t}^{[k]} = (-1)^k \int_{0\leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_k \leq 1} dM_{t_1} dM_{t_2} \cdots dM_{t_k}. \tag{3.15}$$

From these, we can easily see that the estimates (3.11) and (3.12) holds for J^{-1}, too.

Now let us consider the moment estimate for J and J^{-1}, when the driving path x is the d-dimensional fBm $w = (w_t)_{0\leq t\leq 1}$ with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2,1)$. Take any $p \in (1/H, 2)$. Then, almost surely, $\|w\|_{1/p-hld} < \infty$. (By the way, $\|w\|_{1/H-var} = \infty$, a.s. See [6, 18].)

The differential equations are given as follows;

$$dy_t = \sigma(y_t) dw_t \;\text{ with }\; y_0 = a \qquad \text{and} \qquad dJ_t = dM_t \cdot J_t \;\text{ with }\; J_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n, \tag{3.16}$$

where $M_t = \int_0^t \nabla\sigma(y_s)\langle \,\cdot\,, dw_s\rangle$. For simplicity we call it an SDE, though it is just a deterministic Young ODE driven by a random input w.

Proposition 3.4 *Let* H *and* p *be as above and assume that the coefficients* σ *is* C_b^2*. Let* J *be as in (3.16) above. Then,* $\|J\|_{1/p-hld}$ *and* $\|J^{-1}\|_{1/p-hld}$ *have moments of all order, i.e.,* $\|J\|_{1/p-hld}, \|J^{-1}\|_{1/p-hld} \in \cap_{1\le q<\infty} L^q$*.*

Proof. This is immediate from (3.12) and Fernique's integrability theorem for Gaussian measures. ▮

Remark 3.5 *It is also possible to prove Proposition 3.4 by using Hu-Nualrt's moment estimate for* $\sup_{0\le t\le 1}|J_t|$ *in [7] and a cutoff argument.*

Remark 3.6 *This is a remark on a Young ODE with a drift term. Let* $b = V_0 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ *be* C_b^2*. Instead of (3.1) or (3.2), we consider*

$$dy_t = \sigma(y_t)dx_t + b(y_t)dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a,$$

or equivalently,

$$dy_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_i(y_t)dx_t^i + V_0(y_t)dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a.$$

All the results in this section hold true with a trivial modification for a Young ODE with a drift term above. The proof is easy. We just need to replace d, x, σ *with* $d+1, \hat{x}, \hat{\sigma}$*, respectively. Here,* $\hat{x}$ *is a* $(d+1)$*-dimensional "space-time path" defined by* $\hat{x}_t = (x_t, t)$ *and* $\hat{\sigma}$ *is an* $n \times (d+1)$*-matrix-valued function defined by* $[\sigma|b] = [V_1, \ldots, V_d, V_0]$*.*

In this case, (3.4) and (3.6) read

$$dJ_t = \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle J_t, dx_t\rangle + \nabla b(y_t)\langle J_t\rangle dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n, \tag{3.17}$$

$$dJ_t = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \nabla V_i(y_t)\langle J_t\rangle dx_t^i + \nabla V_0(y_t)\langle J_t\rangle dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad J_0 = \mathrm{Id}_n,$$

respectively. When necessary, we will write $J = J(x, \lambda)$*, where* $\lambda_t = t$*.*

4 Preliminaries from Watanabe's asymptotic theory of generalized Wiener functionals

In this section we recall Watanabe's theory of generalized Wiener functionals in Malliavin calculus. Most of the contents in this section are borrowed from Sections 5.8–5.10, Ikeda and Watanabe [8]. Shigekawa [19] and Nualart [15] are also good textbooks of Malliavin calculus and we will sometimes refer to them. There is no new result in this section.

First, let us recall the basic notions in Malliavin calculus. Let $(W, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ be an abstract Wiener space. As usual, $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^*$ are identified through Riesz isometry. Let D be the $\mathcal{H}$-derivative and D^* be its dual. Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator is denoted by $L = -D^*D$. The first Wiener chaos associated with $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\langle h, w \rangle$. If $\langle h, \cdot \rangle \in W^*$, then it coincides with ${}_{W^*}\langle h, w \rangle_W$. A function $F : W \to \mathbf{R}$ is called a real-valued polynomial if there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $h_1, \ldots, h_m$, and a real-valued polynomial f of m-variables such that $F(w) = f(\langle h_1, w \rangle, \ldots, \langle h_m, w \rangle)$. The set of all real-valued polynomials are denoted by $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a real separable Hilbert space. $L^q(\mathcal{K}) = L^q(W; \mathcal{K})$ denotes the L^q-space of $\mathcal{K}$-valued functions. A function $G : W \to \mathcal{K}$ is called a $\mathcal{K}$-valued polynomial if there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}_+$, $F_1, \ldots, F_m \in \mathcal{P}$, and $v_1, \ldots, v_m \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $G(w) = \sum_{j=1}^m F_j(w) v_j$. The set of all $\mathcal{K}$-valued polynomials are denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K})$.

For $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$, and $F \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K})$, set $\|F\|_{q,r} = \|(I - L)^{-r/2} F\|_q$. We define the Sobolev space $\mathbf{D}_{q,r}(\mathcal{K})$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{K})$ with respect to this norm. When $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{R}$, we simply write L^q, $\mathbf{D}_{q,r}$, etc. If $q \le q'$ and $r \le r'$, then $\| \cdot \|_{q,r} \le \| \cdot \|_{q',r'}$ and $\mathbf{D}_{q,r}(\mathcal{K}) \supset \mathbf{D}_{q',r'}(\mathcal{K})$.

When $r = k \in \mathbf{N}$, then the well-known Meyer equivalence holds (see [19] for example); there exists a positive constant $c_{q,r}$ such that

$$c_{q,k}^{-1} \|F\|_{q,k} \le \|F\|_q + \|D^k F\|_q \le c_{q,k} \|F\|_{q,k} \qquad \text{for all } F \in \mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathcal{K}).$$

Now we recall generalized Wiener functionals, which are often called Watanabe distributions, too. Set $\mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) = \cap_{1<q<\infty, r \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{D}_{q,r}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) = \cup_{1<q<\infty, r \in \mathbf{R}} \mathbf{D}_{q,r}(\mathcal{K})$. Those are called the space of test functions and the space of generalized Wiener functionals, respectively. We also use the following spaces of (generalized) Wiener functionals: $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) = \cap_{k=1}^\infty \cup_{1<q<\infty} \mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) = \cup_{k=1}^\infty \cap_{1<q<\infty} \mathbf{D}_{q,-k}(\mathcal{K})$. In other words, $F \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ is equivalent to that, for any $k \ge 0$, there exists $q = q(k) > 1$ such that $F \in \mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $F = (F^1, \ldots, F^n) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The Malliavin covariance matrix is defined by $\big(\langle DF^i(w), DF^j(w) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\big)_{1 \le i,j \le n}$. We say that F is non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin if $\det\big(\langle DF^i(w), DF^j(w) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\big)_{1 \le i,j \le n}^{-1}$ is in L^q for all $1 < q < \infty$. This non-degeneracy is very important in Malliavin calculus. For example, such a non-degenerate F can be composed with a Schwartz distribution ϕ defined on $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\phi \circ F$ becomes a generalized Wiener functional.

We introduce seminorms on the space of tempered distributions on $\mathbf{R}^n$. For $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and a real-valued, rapidly decreasing, smooth function ϕ of Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ on $\mathbf{R}^n$, we define $\|\phi\|_{2k} = \|(1 + |\cdot|^2 - \triangle/2)^k \phi\|_\infty$. Set $\mathcal{S}_{2k}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with respect to this norm. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \cap_{k>0} \mathcal{S}_{2k}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, which is a Fréchet space. The dual space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) = \cup_{k>0} \mathcal{S}_{-2k}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is called the Schwartz space of tempered distributions.

S. Watanabe proved that, if a Wiener functional F is non-degenerate, then the pullback map $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ F$ extends to a continuous linear map between two distribution spaces. The following is borrowed from pp. 378-379, Ikeda and Watanabe [8].

Theorem 4.1 *Let $F = (F^1, \ldots, F^n) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin. Then, for any $1 < q < \infty$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, there exists a positive constant $C = C(q, k, F)$ such that*

$$\|\phi \circ F\|_{q,-2k} \le C\|\phi\|_{-2k} \qquad (\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n))$$

holds. Therefore, the map $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ F$ extends uniquely to a continuous linear map $\mathcal{S}_{-2k}(\mathbf{R}^n) \ni T \mapsto T \circ F \in \mathbf{D}_{q,-2k}$. In particular, $T \circ F \in \cup_{k=1}^{\infty} \cap_{1<q<\infty} \mathbf{D}_{q,-2k} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$.

We recall an integration by parts formula in the context of Malliavin calculus (See p. 377, [8]). For $F = (F^1, \ldots, F^n) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we denote by $\tau^{ij}(w) = \langle DF^i(w), DF^j(w)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ the (i,j)-component of Malliavin covariance matrix. We denote by $\gamma^{ij}(w)$ the (i,j)-component of the inverse matrix τ^{-1}. Note that $\tau^{ij} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ and $D\gamma^{ij} = \sum_{k,l} \gamma^{ik}(D\tau^{kl})\gamma^{lj}$. Hence, derivatives of γ^{ij} can be written in terms of γ^{ij}'s and the derivatives of τ^{ij}'s. Suppose $G \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then, the following integration by parts holds;

$$\mathbb{E}\big[(\partial_i T \circ F) \cdot G\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[(T \circ F) \cdot \Phi_i(\,\cdot\,; G)\big] \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Phi_i(\,\cdot\,; G) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_i(w; G) = -\sum_{j=1}^{d}\Big\{&-\sum_{k,l=1}^{d} G(w)\gamma^{ik}(w)\gamma^{jl}(w)\langle D\tau^{kl}(w), DF^j(w)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &+ \gamma^{ij}(w)\langle DG(w), DF^j(w)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \gamma^{ij}(w)G(w)LF^j(w)\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Note that the expectations in (4.1) are the pairing of $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$.

Now we consider a family of Wiener functionals indexed by a small parameter $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. Consider a family of $\mathcal{K}$-valued Wiener functionals $\{F(\varepsilon, w)\}_{0<\varepsilon\le 1}$ and assume $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ for each ε. We say $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) = O(\varepsilon^\kappa)$ in $\mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathcal{K})$, $\kappa \in \mathbf{R}$, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, if $\|F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,)\|_{q,k} = O(\varepsilon^\kappa)$. We say $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) = O(\varepsilon^\kappa)$ in $\mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, if $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) = O(\varepsilon^\kappa)$ in $\mathbf{D}_{p,k}(\mathcal{K})$ for any $1 < q < \infty$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let $0 = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots \nearrow \infty$ and $f_0, f_{\kappa_1}, f_{\kappa_2}, \ldots \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$. We write

$$F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim f_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} f_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} f_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

if, for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, it holds that

$$F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) - (f_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} f_{\kappa_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\kappa_m} f_{\kappa_m}) = O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}}) \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

In a similar way, we define the asymptotic expansion in the space of Watanabe distributions. Suppose that $\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and $\phi_0, \phi_{\kappa_1}, \phi_{\kappa_2}, \ldots \in \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$. We write

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim \phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1}\phi_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2}\phi_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

if, for any $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, there exist $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $1 < q < \infty$ such that

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,),\ \phi_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_{q,-k}(\mathcal{K}) \qquad (0 < \varepsilon \le 1,\ 0 \le j \le m)$$

and

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) - (\phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1}\phi_{\kappa_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\kappa_m}\phi_{\kappa_m}) = O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}}) \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_{q,-k}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

Let $G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and $g_0, g_{\kappa_1}, g_{\kappa_2}, \ldots \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$. We write

$$G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim g_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} g_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} g_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

if, for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $1 < q < \infty$ such that

$$G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,),\ g_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathcal{K}) \qquad (0 < \varepsilon \le 1,\ 0 \le j \le m)$$

and

$$G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) - (g_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} g_{\kappa_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\kappa_m} g_{\kappa_m}) = O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}}) \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

Finally, let $\Psi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ for all $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and $\psi_0, \psi_{\kappa_1}, \psi_{\kappa_2}, \ldots \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$. We write

$$\Psi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim \psi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1}\psi_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2}\psi_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

if, for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\Psi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,),\ \psi_{\kappa_j} \in \cap_{1<q<\infty} \mathbf{D}_{q,-k}(\mathcal{K}) \qquad (0 < \varepsilon \le 1,\ 0 \le j \le m)$$

and, for any $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\Psi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) - (\psi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1}\psi_{\kappa_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\kappa_m}\psi_{\kappa_m}) = O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}}) \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_{q,-k}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

We recall basic facts for such asymptotic expansions in the Sobolev spaces. Let $0 = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots \nearrow \infty$ be as above. In Proposition 4.2 below, $0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots \nearrow \infty$ are all the elements of $\{\kappa_i + \kappa_j \mid i, j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ in increasing order. The fundamental case $\kappa_j = j$ is treated in Proposition 9.3, Section 5.9, Ikeda and Watanabe [8]. The following is a straight forward modification of it.

Proposition 4.2 **(i)** *Suppose that* $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ *admits an expansion such as*

$$F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim f_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} f_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} f_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $f_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ *for all* $j \in \mathbf{N}$*. Suppose also that* $G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ *(or* $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$*) admits an expansion such as*

$$G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim g_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} g_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} g_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty \quad (\text{or resp. } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $g_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ *(or resp.* $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$*) for* $j \in \mathbf{N}$*. Then,* $H(\varepsilon, w) = F(\varepsilon, w)G(\varepsilon, w)$ *satisfies that*

$$H(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim h_0 + \varepsilon^{\nu_1} h_{\nu_1} + \varepsilon^{\nu_2} h_{\nu_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K}) \quad \text{(or resp. } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K})\text{) as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

where $h_{\nu_n} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$ *(or resp.* $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty(\mathcal{K})$*) are given by the following formal multiplication;*

$$h_{\nu_n} = \sum_{(i,j);\kappa_i+\kappa_j=\nu_n} g_{\kappa_i} f_{\kappa_j}.$$

(ii) *Suppose that* $G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ *(or* $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$*) admits an expansion such as*

$$G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim g_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} g_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} g_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty \quad \text{(or resp. } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty\text{) as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $g_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ *(or resp.* $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$*) for all* $j \in \mathbf{N}$*. Suppose also that* $\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ *admits an expansion such as*

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim \phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} \phi_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} \phi_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $\phi_{\kappa_j} \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ *for all* $j \in \mathbf{N}$*). Then,* $\Psi(\varepsilon, w) = G(\varepsilon, w)\Phi(\varepsilon, w)$ *satisfies that*

$$\Psi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim \psi_0 + \varepsilon^{\nu_1} \psi_{\nu_1} + \varepsilon^{\nu_2} \psi_{\nu_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ (or resp. } \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})\text{) as } \varepsilon \searrow 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\psi_{\nu_n} \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ *(or resp.* $\mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$*) are given by the following formal multiplication;*

$$\psi_{\nu_n} = \sum_{(i,j);\kappa_i+\kappa_j=\kappa_n} g_{\kappa_i} \phi_{\kappa_j}. \tag{4.4}$$

(iii) *Suppose that* $G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ *admits an expansion such as*

$$G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim g_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} g_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} g_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $g_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ *for all* $j \in \mathbf{N}$*. Suppose also that* $\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ *admits an expansion such as*

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim \phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} \phi_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} \phi_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $\phi_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$ *for all* $j \in \mathbf{N}$*. Then, (4.3) and (4.4) hold in* $\mathbf{D}_{-\infty}(\mathcal{K})$*.*

Remark 4.3 *In* **(i)** *of the above Proposition, the index sets* $\{\kappa_j\}_{j=0,1,2,\dots}$ *for the asymptotic expansions for* $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,)$ *and* $G(\varepsilon, \cdot\,)$ *are the same. However, these index sets for* F *and* G *may differ, because the union of the two index sets can be regarded as a new index set. Similar remarks hold for* **(ii)** *and* **(iii)***, too.*

Next we consider asymptotic expansions for the pullback. Let $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. We say F is uniformly non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin if

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \| \det\bigl(\langle DF^i(\varepsilon, \cdot\,), DF^j(\varepsilon, \cdot\,)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\bigr)^{-1}_{1\le i,j\le n} \|_q < \infty \qquad \text{for all } 1 < q < \infty.$$

The following is a straight forward modification of Theorem 9.4, [8]. In this theorem, $0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots \nearrow \infty$ are all the elements of

$$\{\kappa_{j_1} + \cdots + \kappa_{j_n} \mid n = 1, 2, \ldots, \text{ and } j_1, \ldots, j_n \in \mathbf{N}\}$$

in increasing order.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ $(0 < \varepsilon \le 1)$ satisfy the following;*

$$F(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim f_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} f_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} f_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

with $f_{\kappa_j} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $j \in \mathbf{N}$. We also assume that F is uniformly non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin. Then, for any $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\Phi(\varepsilon, w) := T \circ F(\varepsilon, w)$ has the following asymptotic expansion;

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \sim \phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1} \phi_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} \phi_{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty} \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0,$$

where $\phi_{\kappa_j} \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$ is determined by a formal Taylor expansion as follows;

$$\Phi(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) = \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{\alpha!} (\partial^\alpha T)(f_0)[\varepsilon^{\kappa_1} f_{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} f_{\kappa_2} + \cdots]^\alpha = \phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\nu_1}\phi_{\nu_1} + \varepsilon^{\nu_2}\phi_{\nu_2} + \cdots,$$

where the (formal) summation is over all multi-indexes $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$. (We set $\partial^\alpha = \prod_j (\partial/\partial x^j)^{\alpha_j}$ and $b^\alpha = \prod_j b_j^{\alpha_j}$ for $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ as usual.) For instance, $\phi_0 = T(f_0)$ and $\phi_{\kappa_1} = \sum_{j=1}^n f^j_{\kappa_1} \cdot (\partial T/\partial x^j)(f_0)$ and so on.

Unlike in the usual stochastic analysis, almost every Wiener functional in this paper is continuous with respect to the topology of an abstract Wiener space, because we work in the framework of Young integration. Therefore, the following proposition will be very useful. For Banach spaces $\mathcal{X}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_m, \mathcal{Y}$, $L^{(m)}(\mathcal{X}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_m; \mathcal{Y})$ denotes the space of bounded m-multilinear maps from $\mathcal{X}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{X}_m$ to $\mathcal{Y}$.

Proposition 4.5 *Let $(W, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ be an abstract Wiener space. Then, we have the following bounded inclusions;*

$$L^{(m)}(\underbrace{W, \ldots, W}_{m}; \mathbf{R}) \hookrightarrow L^{(m)}(\underbrace{W, \ldots, W}_{m-1}, \mathcal{H}; \mathbf{R}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{H}^*)^{\otimes m}.$$

Here, the tensor product on the right hand side is Hilbert-Schmidt as usual.

Proof. The left bounded inclusion is obvious. The right one is in p. 103, Kuo [9]. ▎

5 Some results on Malliavin calculus for the solution of Young ODE driven by fBm with $H > 1/2$

In this section we discuss the solution of Young ODE driven by fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$. We give moment estimates for the derivatives of the solution and prove uniform non-degeneracy of Malliavin covariance matrix of the solution.

Take $\alpha \in (1/2, H)$ and set $p = 1/\alpha$. We denote by $\mu = \mu^H$ the law of d-dimensional fBm starting at 0. This Gaussian measure is supported in $C_0^{\alpha-hld}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$. Cameron-Martin space is denoted by $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^H$, which is not dense in $C_0^{\alpha-hld}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$. We set $\mathcal{W}$ to be the closure of $\mathcal{H}$ in $C_0^{\alpha-hld}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$. Then, $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ becomes an abstract Wiener space. (Note that the separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is not dense in $C_0^{\alpha-hld}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$, which is not separable.) We denote by $(w_t)_{0\le t\le 1} = (w_t^H)_{0\le t\le 1}$ the canonical realization of fBm.

From now on, we assume that $\sigma : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathrm{Mat}(n, d)$ and $b : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ are C_b^∞. We recall Young SDE (2.1) driven by fBm (w_t) in the following form;

$$dy_t = \sigma(y_t)dw_t + b(y_t)dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad y_0 = a. \tag{5.1}$$

Then $y(w) = I(w, \lambda)$, where $\lambda_t = t$ and I is the Itô map corresponding to the coefficients $[\sigma; b] = [V_1, \ldots, V_d; V_0]$. I is everywhere-defined and continuous from $C_0^{\alpha-hld}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^{d+1})$ to $C^{\alpha-hld}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^d)$, as we have explained in Section 3.

Moreover, I is smooth in Fréchet sense (See Li and Lyons [11]) and, in particular, $y = I(\,\cdot\,, \lambda)$ is infinitely differentiable in $\mathcal{H}$-direction (see Nualart and Saussereau [17]). These are deterministic results. In the sense of Malliavin calculus, it is shown in Hu and Nualart [7] that $y_T : \mathcal{W} \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ for any $T \in [0, 1]$.

We can obtain an explicit form of the directional derivative $\xi_t^h := D_h y_t$ $(h \in \mathcal{H})$ by differentiating (5.1);

$$d\xi_t^h - \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^h, dw_t\rangle - \nabla b(y_t)\langle \xi_t^h\rangle dt = \sigma(y_t)dh_t \qquad \text{with} \qquad \xi_0^h = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

or equivalently,

$$\xi_T^h = J(w,\lambda)_T \int_0^T J(w,\lambda)_t^{-1}\sigma(y_t)dh_t. \tag{5.3}$$

Note that all the integrations above are in the Young sense. An explicit form of $J = J(w, \lambda)$ is given in (3.17). Let $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. By differentiating the above ODE, we see that $\xi_t^{k,h} := D_k D_h y_t$ satisfies the following ODE;

$$\begin{aligned} d\xi_t^{k,h} - \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^{k,h}, dw_t\rangle - \nabla b(y_t)\langle \xi_t^{k,h}\rangle dt &= \nabla^2\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^k, \xi_t^h, dw_t\rangle \\ + \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^h, dk_t\rangle + \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^k, dh_t\rangle &+ \nabla^2 b(y_t)\langle \xi_t^k, \xi_t^h\rangle dt \quad \text{with } \xi_0^{k,h} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Equivalently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_T^{k,h} = J(w,\lambda)_T \int_0^T J(w,\lambda)_t^{-1}\bigl\{\nabla^2\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^k, \xi_t^h, dw_t\rangle \\ + \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^h, dk_t\rangle + \nabla\sigma(y_t)\langle \xi_t^k, dh_t\rangle + \nabla^2 b(y_t)\langle \xi_t^k, \xi_t^h\rangle dt\bigr\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We can also obtain higher order directional derivatives in a similar way, but we omit them.

In a proof for the main theorem, we need to consider $\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}(w) = I(\varepsilon w + \gamma, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$ is a fixed element and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. This process satisfies the following Young SDE;

$$d\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t = \sigma(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)\varepsilon dw_t + \sigma(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)d\gamma_t + b(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)\varepsilon^{1/H}dt \qquad \text{with} \qquad \tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_0 = a. \tag{5.6}$$

When $\gamma = 0$, we write y^{ε} for $\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}$. In that case, self-similarity of (w_t) implies that the two processes $(y_{\varepsilon^{1/H}t})_{0 \le t \le 1}$ and $(y^{\varepsilon}_t)_{0 \le t \le 1}$ have the same law.

In the next proposition we give estimates for the derivatives $D^k\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_T$. As we stated above, it is known that y_T (and hence $\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_T$) is $\mathbf{D}_{\infty}$. In that sense, this proposition is not new. But, the estimate in powers of ε in (5.7) may be new. Also, the proof is slightly different from the preceding papers, because Proposition 4.5 is used.

Proposition 5.1 *Take any $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$ and fix it. Then, for any $q \in (1, \infty)$ and $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, there exists a positive constant $C_{q,k}$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|D^k\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_T\|^q_{(\mathcal{H}^*)^{\otimes k}}]^{1/q} \le C_{q,k}\varepsilon^k \qquad \textit{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, 1] \textit{ and } T \in [0, 1]. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. In this proof, an unimportant positive constant C may change from line to line. First, consider the case $k = 0$. Since $\omega(s, t) = (\|w\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + \|\gamma\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + 1)(t - s)$ satisfies

$$|(\varepsilon w_t + \gamma_t) - (\varepsilon w_s + \gamma_s)| + |\varepsilon^{1/H}t - \varepsilon^{1/H}s| \le \omega(s, t)^{1/p}, \qquad (0 \le s \le t \le 1),$$

we can use Proposition 3.1, (ii) to obtain that

$$|\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_T| \le \|\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} \le |a| + C(1 + \|w\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + \|\gamma\|^p_{\alpha-hld}) \tag{5.8}$$

for some constant $C = C_K$. Fernique's theorem immediately implies (5.7) for $k = 0$.

Next let us consider the case $k = 1$. By slightly modifying (5.2)–(5.3), we can easily see that $\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t := D_h\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t$ satisfies the following (5.9)–(5.10);

$$d\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t - \nabla\sigma(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)\langle\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t, d(\varepsilon w_t + \gamma_t)\rangle - \nabla b(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)\langle\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t\rangle\varepsilon^{1/H}dt = \sigma(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)\varepsilon dh_t \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_0 = 0, \tag{5.9}$$

or equivalently,

$$\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_T = \tilde{J}_T \int_0^T \tilde{J}^{-1}_t \sigma(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_t)\varepsilon dh_t, \tag{5.10}$$

where $\tilde{J} = J(\varepsilon w + \gamma, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)$. From this, we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_T| \le \|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}\|_{\alpha-hld} &\le C\varepsilon\|\tilde{J}\|_{\infty}\|\tilde{J}^{-1}_{\cdot}\sigma(\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_{\cdot})\|_{\alpha-hld}\|h\|_{\alpha-hld} \\ &\le C\varepsilon\|\tilde{J}\|_{\infty}\|\tilde{J}^{-1}\|_{\alpha-hld}(1 + \|\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld})\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

and, hence,

$$\|D\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}_T\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} \le C\varepsilon\|\tilde{J}\|_{\infty}\|\tilde{J}^{-1}\|_{\alpha-hld}(1 + \|\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}).$$

By Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.6, L^q-norm of $\|\tilde{J}^{\pm 1}\|_{\alpha-hld}$ is finite and bounded in ε for any fixed $q \in (1,\infty)$. (Just replace w and t in Proposition 3.4 and Remark 3.6 by $\varepsilon w + \gamma$ and $\varepsilon^{1/H}t$, respectively.) Hence, using Hölder's inequality, we obtain (5.7) for $k = 1$.

We prove the case $k = 2$. Set $D_k D_h \tilde{y}^\varepsilon_T = \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k,h}_T$ for simplicity. Then, in the same way as in (5.4)–(5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k,h}_T = \tilde{J}_T \int_0^T \tilde{J}_t^{-1}\big\{&\nabla^2\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t)\langle \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k}_t, \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t, d(\varepsilon w_t + \gamma_t)\rangle \\ &+ \nabla\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t)\langle \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t, \varepsilon dk_t\rangle + \nabla\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t)\langle \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k}_t, \varepsilon dh_t\rangle + \nabla^2 b(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t)\langle \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k}_t, \tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_t\rangle dt\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

From this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k,h}\|_{\alpha-hld} &\le C\|\tilde{J}\|_\infty \|\tilde{J}^{-1}\|_{\alpha-hld}\big\{\|\nabla^2\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_\cdot)\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k}_T\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}_T\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\varepsilon w + \gamma\|_{\alpha-hld} \\ &\qquad + \|\nabla\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_\cdot)\|_{\alpha-hld}(\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k}\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\varepsilon h\|_{\alpha-hld} + \|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\varepsilon k\|_{\alpha-hld}) \\ &\qquad + \|\nabla^2\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_\cdot)\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k}\|_{\alpha-hld}\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;h}\|_{\alpha-hld}\big\} \\ &\le C\varepsilon^2\|\tilde{J}\|_\infty^3\|\tilde{J}^{-1}\|^3_{\alpha-hld}(1 + \|w\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + \|\gamma\|^p_{\alpha-hld})^4\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|k\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Here, we used (5.8) and (5.11) From Proposition 4.5, we see

$$\|\tilde{\xi}^{\varepsilon;k,h}_T\|_{\mathcal{H}^*\otimes\mathcal{H}^*} \le C\varepsilon^2\|\tilde{J}\|^3_\infty\|\tilde{J}^{-1}\|^3_{\alpha-hld}(1 + \|w\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + \|\gamma\|^p_{\alpha-hld})^4. \tag{5.14}$$

Using the moment estimate for $\|\tilde{J}^{\pm 1}\|_{\alpha-hld}$ again, we show (5.7) for $k = 2$. The higher order cases ($k \ge 3$) can be dealt with in a similar way. ∎

Remark 5.2 *We already have* (i) *Fréchet smoothness of $\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_T$ in the deterministic sense and* (ii) *L^q-estimates for derivatives as in this proposition. From these, we can easily verify that $\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_T \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ as follows. (For simplicity of notations, we only consider the case $\gamma = 0, \varepsilon = 1$.) By using Taylor expansion, we have*

$$\frac{y_T(w + rh) - y_T(w)}{r} - D_h y_T(w) = r\int_0^1 d\theta(1-\theta)D^2 y_T(w + r\theta h)\langle h, h\rangle$$

for all $w \in \mathcal{W}$, $h \in \mathcal{H}^H$, and $r \in \mathbf{R}$. Note that the derivative D on the both sides of the above equation is in the deterministic sense. By Proposition 5.1 and Cameron-Martin formula, the right hand side is $O(r)$ as $r \to 0$ in L^q-norm for any $q \in (1,\infty)$. This implies that $y_T \in \mathbf{D}_{q,1}$ for any $q \in (1,\infty)$ and the derivative Dy_T in (deterministic) Fréchet sense is also the derivative in the sense of Malliavin calculus. (See Proposition 4.21, [19] for instance.) The higher order derivatives can be dealt with in the same way.

Now we show that, under the ellipticity condition **(A1)** for σ (i.e., for $V_1, \ldots, V_d$), the Malliavin covariance matrix for

$$\frac{\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_1 - a'}{\varepsilon} \tag{5.15}$$

is uniformly non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Here, we set $a' = \phi_1^0$ for the solution of the following ODE; $d\phi_t^0 = \sigma(\phi_t^0) d\gamma_t$ with $\phi_0^0 = a$.

(A1): The set of vectors $\{V_1(a), \ldots, V_d(a)\}$ linearly spans $\mathbf{R}^n$.

Nualart and Saussereau [17] showed non-degenarcy of Malliavin covariance matrix of y_T under **(A1)**. Baudoin and Hairer [1], proved non-degeneracy under Hörmander's hypoellipticity condition for vector fields $\{V_1, \ldots, V_d; V_0\}$.

In the next proposition, we will prove uniform non-degeneracy of (5.15) under **(A1)** by slightly modifying Baudoin-Hairer's argument. (The special case $\gamma = 0$ has already appeared in Baudoin and Ouyang [3].)

Proposition 5.3 *Let* $\tilde{y}^\varepsilon = (\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon,1}, \ldots, \tilde{y}^{\varepsilon,n})$ *be the solution of (5.6) and assume* **(A1)**. *Then,* $(\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon$ *is uniformly non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin as* $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Proof. Let $y = (y_t)$ be the solution of (5.1). In p. 388-389, [1], an explicit form of the Malliavin covariance matrix for y_1 is given. By replacing the vector fields $[\sigma; b] = [V_1, \ldots, V_d; V_0]$ with $[\varepsilon\sigma; \varepsilon^{1/H} b] = [\varepsilon V_1, \ldots, \varepsilon V_d; \varepsilon^{1/H} V_0]$, we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\big(\langle D y_1^{\varepsilon;i}, D y_1^{\varepsilon;j}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\big)_{1\le i,j\le n} = H(2H-1) J(\varepsilon w, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)_1 \\
&\times \int_0^1\int_0^1 J(\varepsilon w, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)_u^{-1} \sigma(y_u^\varepsilon)\sigma(y_v^\varepsilon)^* J(\varepsilon w, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)_v^{-1,*} |u-v|^{2H-2} du dv J(\varepsilon w, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)_1^*.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\lambda_t = t$ and A^* denotes the transposed matrix of A. By shifting $w \mapsto w + (\gamma/\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\big(\langle D\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon;i}, D\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon;j}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\big)_{1\le i,j\le n} = H(2H-1)\tilde{J}_1 C \tilde{J}_1^*, \tag{5.16}$$

where $\tilde{J}_t = J(\varepsilon w + \gamma, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)_t$ as before and we set

$$C = \int_0^1\int_0^1 \tilde{J}_s^{-1}\sigma(\tilde{y}_s^\varepsilon)\sigma(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon)^* \tilde{J}_t^{-1,*} |s-t|^{2H-2} ds dt.$$

Since $\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \|\tilde{J}_1^{\pm 1}\|_q < \infty$ for any $q \in (1,\infty)$, it is sufficient to prove

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \| |\det C|^{-1} \|_q < \infty \qquad \text{for any } 1 < q < \infty. \tag{5.17}$$

We will follow the argument in pp. 387–340, [1]. In order to show (5.17) above, it is sufficient to prove that, for any $1 < q < \infty$, there exists $\rho_0(q)$, which is independent of ε and satisfies that,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathbf{R}^n, \|\mathbf{a}\|=1} \mu\big(\langle \mathbf{a}, C\mathbf{a}\rangle \le \rho\big) \le \rho^q \qquad \text{for any } \rho \in (0, \rho_0(q)) \text{ and } \varepsilon \in (0,1]. \tag{5.18}$$

(For a proof, see Lemma 2.3.1, Nualart [15]). As in [1],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathbf{a}, C\mathbf{a}\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_s^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_s^{\varepsilon})\rangle \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_t^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_t^{\varepsilon})\rangle |s-t|^{2H-2} ds dt \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \big\| \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_\cdot^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_\cdot^{\varepsilon})\rangle \big\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}
$$

By a Norris-type lemma (Corollary 4.5, [1]), there exists $0 < \beta < 1/2$ such that for any $\gamma < H - (1/2)$ and $0 < \rho \le 1$, the following inequalities hold;

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mu\big(\langle \mathbf{a}, C\mathbf{a}\rangle \le \rho\big) \le \min_{1\le j\le d} \mu\big(\big\| \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_\cdot^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_\cdot^{\varepsilon})\rangle \big\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \rho^{1/2}\big) \\
&\le \min_{1\le j\le d} \Big[\mu\big(\big\| \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_\cdot^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_\cdot^{\varepsilon})\rangle \big\|_{L^\infty} < \rho^{\beta/2}\big) + \mu\big(\big\| \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_\cdot^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_\cdot^{\varepsilon})\rangle \big\|_{\gamma-hld} > \rho^{-\beta/2}\big) \Big] \\
&\le \min_{1\le j\le d} \Big[\mu\big(|\langle \mathbf{a}, V_j(a)\rangle| < \rho^{\beta/2}\big) + \mu\big(\big\| \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_\cdot^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_\cdot^{\varepsilon})\rangle \big\|_{\alpha-hld} > \rho^{-\beta/2}\big) \Big].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}
$$

Here, in the last inequality, we evaluated at $t = 0$ and used $\gamma < \alpha$. Note that the set in the first term on the right hand side is already independent of ε and non-random (i.e., either $\emptyset$ or the whole set $\mathcal{W}$).

Recall that, for any q, $E[\|\tilde{J}^{-1}\|_{\alpha-hld}^q + \|\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}^q] \le c_1$ for some constant $c_1 = c_1(q)$ which is independent of ε. Then, using Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$
\mu\big(\big\| \langle \mathbf{a}, \tilde{J}_\cdot^{-1} V_j(\tilde{y}_\cdot^{\varepsilon})\rangle \big\|_{\alpha-hld} > \rho^{-\beta/2}\big) \le c_2 \rho^q
$$

for some constant $c_2 = c_2(q)$ which is independent of ε.

Let us consider the first term on the right hand side of (5.20). By **(A1)**, there exists $c' > 0$ such that $\sigma(a)\sigma(a)^* \ge c' \mathrm{Id}_n$ in the form sense. We have

$$
n \max_{1\le j\le d} |\langle \mathbf{a}, V_j(a)\rangle|^2 \ge \sum_{1\le j\le d} |\langle \mathbf{a}, V_j(a)\rangle|^2 = \langle \mathbf{a}, \sigma(a)\sigma(a)^* \mathbf{a}\rangle \ge c' > 0.
$$

Hence, if $\rho^{\beta/2} \le \sqrt{c'/n}$, then $\min_{1\le j\le d} \mu\big(|\langle \mathbf{a}, V_j(a)\rangle| < \rho^{\beta/2}\big) = 0$ and

$$
\mu\big(\langle \mathbf{a}, C\mathbf{a}\rangle \le \rho\big) \le c_2(q)\rho^q.
$$

From this, we can easily see (5.18) holds with $\rho_0(q) = c_2(q+1)^{-1} \wedge (c'/n)^{1/\beta}$. This completes the proof. ∎

Remark 5.4 *Precisely speaking, another Hilbert space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is used in [1] instead of $\mathcal{H}$. (See Appendix below for the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.) This causes no confusion, however, since the two Hilbert spaces are unitarily isometric.*

6 On-diagonal short time asymptotics

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2.1, namely, on-diagonal short time asymptotic expansion of the density of the solution of the Young SDE (2.1) (or equivalently (5.1)) under the ellipticity assumption **(A1)**.

Let us consider the solution $(y_t) = (y_t(a))$ of Young differential equation (2.1) with an initial condition $y_0 = a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ driven by fBm (w_t) with $H > 1/2$. It is shown in [17, 1] that, under **(A1)**, the law of the solution has a smooth density $p(t, a, a')$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}\big(y_t(a) \in A\big) = \int_A p(t, a, a') da' \qquad (\text{ for any Borel set } A \subset \mathbf{R}^n).$$

For $t > 0$, $y_t = y_t(a)$ is $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ and non-degenerate in the sense of Malliavin. By the same argument as in Ikeda and Watanabe [8], we have the following expression; $p(t, a, a') = \mathbb{E}[\delta_{a'}(y_t(a))] = {}_{\mathbf{D}_{-\infty}}\langle \delta_{a'}(y_t(a)), \mathbf{1}\rangle_{\mathbf{D}_\infty}$. By the self-similarity of fBm, $(y_{\varepsilon^{1/H}t})_{t\ge 0}$ and $(y^\varepsilon_t)_{t\ge 0}$ have the same law, where y^ε is given by (5.6) with $\gamma = 0$. From this, we see that $p(\varepsilon^{1/H}, a, a') = \mathbb{E}[\delta_{a'}(y^\varepsilon_1(a))]$.

The most important part of the proof is asymptotic expansion of y^ε_1 in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ in $\mathbf{D}_\infty$-topology. For that purpose, we introduce the following index set for exponent of ε. Set

$$\Lambda_1 = \{n_1 + \frac{n_2}{H} \mid n_1, n_2 \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

We denote by $0 = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots$ the elements of Λ_1 in increasing order. Several smallest elements are explicitly given as follows;

$$\kappa_1 = 1, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{H}, \quad \kappa_3 = 2, \quad \kappa_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{H}, \quad \kappa_5 = 3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}, \ldots$$

Proposition 6.1 *The family of Wiener functional* y^ε_1 $(0 < \varepsilon \le 1)$ *admits the following asymptotic expansion as* $\varepsilon \searrow 0$*;*

$$y^\varepsilon_1 \sim a + \varepsilon f_1 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2} f_{\kappa_2} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_3} f_{\kappa_3} + \cdots \qquad \textit{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for certain $f_{\kappa_1}, f_{\kappa_2}, \ldots \in \mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proof. For $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_m) \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d\}^m$, we set $|\mathbf{j}| = m$ and

$$\|\mathbf{j}\| = \frac{\sharp\{1 \le k \le m \mid j_k = 0\}}{H} + \sharp\{1 \le k \le m \mid j_k \ne 0\}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{I}_m$ the totality of such $\mathbf{j}$'s with $|\mathbf{j}| = m$ and set $\mathcal{I} = \cup_{m=1}^\infty \mathcal{I}_m$.

We will use the following convention. We set $t = w^0_t$. Then, the ODE for y^ε (that is, (5.6) with $\gamma = 0$) reads;

$$dy^\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon^{1/H} V_0(y^\varepsilon_t) dw^0_t + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon V_j(y^\varepsilon_t) dw^j_t \qquad \text{with } y^\varepsilon_0 = a. \tag{6.1}$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_1^\varepsilon - a &= \varepsilon^{1/H} \int_0^1 V_0(y_t^\varepsilon) dw_t^0 + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon \int_0^1 V_j(y_t^\varepsilon) dw_t^j \\
&= \varepsilon^{1/H} \int_0^1 V_0(a) dw_t^0 + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon \int_0^1 V_j(a) dw_t^j \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{1/H} \int_0^1 \{V_0(y_t^\varepsilon) - V_0(a)\} dw_t^0 + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon \int_0^1 \{V_j(y_t^\varepsilon) - V_j(a)\} dw_t^j \\
&= \varepsilon^{1/H} V_0(a) + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon V_j(a) w_1^j \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^{1/H} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \{\varepsilon^{1/H} \hat{V}_0 V_0(y_{t_2}^\varepsilon) dt_2 + \sum_{j'=1}^d \varepsilon \hat{V}_{j'} V_0(y_{t_2}^\varepsilon) dw_{t_2}^j\} dw_{t_1}^0 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon^{1+(1/H)} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \hat{V}_0 V_j(y_{t_2}^\varepsilon) dw_{t_2}^{j'} dw_{t_1}^j + \sum_{j,j'=1}^d \varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \hat{V}_{j'} V_j(y_{t_2}^\varepsilon) dw_{t_2}^{j'} dw_{t_1}^j \\
&= \varepsilon^{1/H} V_0(a) + \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon V_j(a) w_1^j + \sum_{|\mathbf{j}|=2} \varepsilon^{\|\mathbf{j}\|} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(y_{t_2}^\varepsilon) dw_{t_2}^{j_2} dw_{t_1}^{j_1}. \qquad (6.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\hat{V}_i V_j$ denotes a vector field V_i (as a first order differential operator) acting on a $\mathbf{R}^n$-valued function V_j.

Repeating the same argument for the last term on the right hand side of (6.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_1^\varepsilon - a = \varepsilon^{1/H} V_0(a) &+ \sum_{j=1}^d \varepsilon V_j(a) w_1^j + \sum_{|\mathbf{j}|=2} \varepsilon^{\|\mathbf{j}\|} \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(a) \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} dw_{t_2}^{j_2} dw_{t_1}^{j_1} \\
&+ \sum_{|\mathbf{j}|=3} \varepsilon^{\|\mathbf{j}\|} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \hat{V}_{j_3} \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(y_{t_2}^\varepsilon) dw_{t_3}^{j_3} dw_{t_2}^{j_2} dw_{t_1}^{j_1}. \qquad (6.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $\hat{V}_{j_3} \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1} = \hat{V}_{j_3}(\hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1})$. In general, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_1^\varepsilon - a = &\sum_{1 \le |\mathbf{j}| \le n-1} \varepsilon^{\|\mathbf{j}\|} \hat{V}_{j_{n-1}} \cdots \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(a) \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-2}} dw_{t_{n-1}}^{j_{n-1}} \cdots dw_{t_2}^{j_2} dw_{t_1}^{j_1} \\
&+ \sum_{|\mathbf{j}|=n} \varepsilon^{\|\mathbf{j}\|} \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \hat{V}_{j_n} \cdots \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(y_{t_n}^\varepsilon) dw_{t_n}^{j_n} \cdots dw_{t_2}^{j_2} dw_{t_1}^{j_1}. \qquad (6.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us observe the first term. From basic properties of Young integral, we easily see that, for any m, the real-valued functional $\int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{m-1}} dw_{t_m}^{j_m} \cdots dw_{t_2}^{j_2} dw_{t_1}^{j_1}$ is in mth (inhomogeneous) Wiener chaos and hence it is in any $\mathbf{D}_{q,k}$ $(1 < q < \infty, k \in \mathbf{N})$.

Next we consider the last term in (6.4). We set

$$Q_\varepsilon(w) = \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \hat{V}_{j_n} \cdots \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dw^{j_2}_{t_2} dw^{j_1}_{t_1}$$

and will prove $Q_\varepsilon = O(1)$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ in $\mathbf{D}_{q,k}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for any $1 < q < \infty$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$. (For simplicity of notation, we denote $G = \hat{V}_{j_n} \cdots \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}$ and assume $j_i \neq 0$ for all i. The other case is actually easier.)

Since $\|y^\varepsilon\|_{\alpha-hld}$ is $O(1)$ in any L^q as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, $Q_\varepsilon(w)$ is $O(1)$ in any L^q, too. Now we estimate the derivatives. For $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_h Q_\varepsilon(w) = & \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \nabla G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) \langle D_h y^\varepsilon_{t_n} \rangle dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dw^{j_2}_{t_2} dw^{j_1}_{t_1} \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dh^{j_l}_{t_l} \cdots dw^{j_1}_{t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder norms of y^ε and $D_h y^\varepsilon$ were estimated in (5.8)–(5.11). From these, we see that $\|DQ_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} = O(1)$ in any L^q.

Similarly, $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_k D_h Q_\varepsilon(w) = & \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \nabla G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) \langle D_k D_h y^\varepsilon_{t_n} \rangle dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dw^{j_2}_{t_2} dw^{j_1}_{t_1} \\ & + \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \nabla G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) \langle D_k y^\varepsilon_{t_n}, D_h y^\varepsilon_{t_n} \rangle dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dw^{j_2}_{t_2} dw^{j_1}_{t_1} \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \nabla G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) \langle D_h y^\varepsilon_{t_n} \rangle dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dk^{j_l}_{t_l} \cdots dw^{j_1}_{t_1} \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^n \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \nabla G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) \langle D_k y^\varepsilon_{t_n} \rangle dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dh^{j_l}_{t_l} \cdots dw^{j_1}_{t_1} \\ & + \sum_{l \neq m}^n \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} G(y^\varepsilon_{t_n}) dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dh^{j_l}_{t_l} \cdots dh^{j_m}_{t_m} \cdots dw^{j_1}_{t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hölder norm of $D_k D_h y^\varepsilon$ was estimated in (5.13). Combined with Proposition 4.5, the above implies that $\|D^2 Q_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^* \otimes \mathcal{H}^*} = O(1)$ in any L^q. Higher order derivatives can be done in the same way.

Now we prove the proposition. In order to get the asymptotic expansion up to order κ_m (i.e., the remainder is of order κ_{m+1}), it is sufficient (i) to consider the expansion (6.4) with $n-1$ being the smallest integer which is not less than κ_m and (ii) to set

$$f_{\kappa_l}(w) = \sum_{\|\mathbf{j}\| = \kappa_l} \hat{V}_{j_n} \cdots \hat{V}_{j_2} V_{j_1}(a) \int_0^1 \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dw^{j_n}_{t_n} \cdots dw^{j_2}_{t_2} dw^{j_1}_{t_1}$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq m$. ∎

Before we prove on-diagonal short time kernel asymptotics, we define two more index sets for exponent of ε. Set $\Lambda_2 = \{\kappa - 1 \mid \kappa \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0\}\}$. Smallest elements of Λ_2 are

$$0, \quad \frac{1}{H} - 1, \quad 1, \quad \frac{1}{H}, \quad \bigl(3 \wedge \frac{2}{H}\bigr) - 1, \dots$$

Next we set $\Lambda_3 = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m \mid m \in \mathbf{N}_+ \text{ and } a_1, \dots, a_m \in \Lambda_2\}$. In the sequel, $\{0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots\}$ stands for all the elements of Λ_3 in increasing order.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 First, note that

$$p(\varepsilon^{1/H}, a, a) = \mathbb{E}[\delta_a(y_1^\varepsilon(a))] = \mathbb{E}[\delta_0\bigl(\varepsilon \frac{y_1^\varepsilon(a) - a}{\varepsilon}\bigr)] = \varepsilon^{-n}\mathbb{E}[\delta_0\bigl(\frac{y_1^\varepsilon(a) - a}{\varepsilon}\bigr)].$$

By Proposition 5.3, $(y_1^\varepsilon(a) - a)/\varepsilon$ is uniformly non-degenerate. It admits asymptotic expansion in $\mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as in Proposition 6.1. Then, by Theorem 4.4, the following asymptotic expansion holds in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$;

$$\delta_0\bigl(\frac{y_1^\varepsilon(a) - a}{\varepsilon}\bigr) \sim \phi_0 + \varepsilon^{\nu_1}\phi_{\nu_1} + \varepsilon^{\nu_2}\phi_{\nu_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

By taking the generalized expectation and setting $c_{\nu_k} = \mathbb{E}[\phi_{\nu_k}]$, we have

$$p(\varepsilon^{1/H}, a, a) \sim \varepsilon^{-n}\bigl(c_0 + c_{\nu_1}\varepsilon^{\nu_1} + c_{\nu_2}\varepsilon^{\nu_2} + \cdots\bigr) \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

Putting $\varepsilon = t^H$, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1. ▌

7 Taylor expansion of Itô map around a Cameron-Martin path

In this section we prove an asymptotic expansion for $\tilde{y}^\varepsilon = I(\varepsilon w + \gamma, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)$, which was defined in (5.6). The base point $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$ of the expansion is arbitrary, but fixed. First, we prove that $\tilde{y}^\varepsilon$ admits the following expansion in $C^{\alpha-hld}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^n)$;

$$\tilde{y}^\varepsilon \sim \phi^0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1}\phi^{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2}\phi^{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0, \qquad (\kappa_i \in \Lambda_1 = \mathbf{N} + \frac{1}{H}\mathbf{N}), \tag{7.1}$$

for some $C^{\alpha-hld}([0,1];\mathbf{R}^n)$-valued Wiener functional $\phi^0, \phi^{\kappa_1}, \phi^{\kappa_2}, \dots$. Since the Itô map I in the sense of Young integral equation is smooth in Fréchet sense (see [11]), this kind expansion holds in deterministic sense. In this paper, however, we need to prove this expansion in L^q-sense.

Before we state the proposition precisely, we now give a heuristic argument to find an explicit form of ϕ^{κ_m}. To find an ODE for ϕ^0 is easy.

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon &= \sigma(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon)(\varepsilon dw_t + d\gamma_t) + b(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon)\varepsilon^{1/H}dt \qquad && \text{with} \quad \tilde{y}_0^\varepsilon = a, \\ d\phi_t^0 &= \sigma(\phi_t^0)d\gamma_t && \text{with} \quad \phi_0^0 = a. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Set $\triangle\phi := \tilde{y}^{\varepsilon} - \phi^0$ and put it in the above ODE for $\tilde{y}^{\varepsilon}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi^0 + \triangle\phi) &= \sigma(\phi^0 + \triangle\phi)(\varepsilon dw + d\gamma) + b(\phi^0 + \triangle\phi)\varepsilon^{1/H} dt \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nabla^k \sigma(\phi^0)}{k!} \langle \underbrace{\triangle\phi, \ldots, \triangle\phi}_{k}; \varepsilon dw + d\gamma \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nabla^k b(\phi^0)}{k!} \langle \underbrace{\triangle\phi, \ldots, \triangle\phi}_{k} \rangle \varepsilon^{1/H} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $\triangle\phi$ admits the asymptotic expansion (7.1). Then, by putting it in the above equation and picking up the terms of order ε^{κ_m}, we find an ODE for ϕ^{κ_m}. Note that $\phi_0^{\kappa_m} = 0$ for all $m \geq 1$.

For $\kappa_m = 1, 1/H, 2$, we can write down the ODEs explicitly as follows;

$$d\phi_t^1 - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^1, d\gamma_t \rangle = \sigma(\phi_t^0) dw_t, \tag{7.3}$$

$$d\phi_t^{1/H} - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^{1/H}, d\gamma_t \rangle = b(\phi_t^0) dt, \tag{7.4}$$

$$d\phi_t^2 - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^2, d\gamma_t \rangle = \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^1, dw_t \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^1, \phi_t^1, d\gamma_t \rangle. \tag{7.5}$$

Note that $\phi^{1/H}$ is independent of w, i.e, non-random with respect to μ.

For $\kappa_m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_t^{\kappa_m} - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^{\kappa_m}, d\gamma_t \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa_{i_1} + \cdots + \kappa_{i_k} = \kappa_m - 1} \frac{\nabla^k \sigma(\phi_t^0)}{k!} \langle \phi_t^{\kappa_{i_1}}, \ldots, \phi_t^{\kappa_{i_k}}; dw_t \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa_{i_1} + \cdots + \kappa_{i_k} = \kappa_m} \frac{\nabla^k \sigma(\phi_t^0)}{k!} \langle \phi_t^{\kappa_{i_1}}, \ldots, \phi_t^{\kappa_{i_k}}; d\gamma_t \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa_{i_1} + \cdots + \kappa_{i_k} = \kappa_m - (1/H)} \frac{\nabla^k b(\phi_t^0)}{k!} \langle \phi_t^{\kappa_{i_1}}, \ldots, \phi_t^{\kappa_{i_k}}; dt \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

The summations in the first term on the right hand side is taken over all $\kappa_{i_1}, \ldots, \kappa_{i_k} \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\kappa_{i_1} + \cdots + \kappa_{i_k} = \kappa_m - 1$ hold. $\kappa_{i_j} = 0$ is not allowed. So, the sum is actually a finite sum. The second and the third terms should be understood in the same way. An important observation is that the right hand side of (7.6) does not involve ϕ^{κ_m}, but only $\phi^0, \phi^1, \ldots, \phi^{\kappa_{m-1}}$. These ODEs have a rigorous meaning. So, we inductively define ϕ^{κ_m} as a unique solution of (7.3)–(7.6).

If the right hand side of(7.3)–(7.6) is denoted by $dQ_t^{\kappa_m}$, then ϕ^{κ_m} can be written explicitly as follows;

$$\phi_T^{\kappa_m} = \tilde{J}(\gamma)_T \int_0^T \tilde{J}(\gamma)_t^{-1} dQ_t^{\kappa_m}, \tag{7.7}$$

where we set $\tilde{J}(\gamma) = J(\gamma, 0) = J(0w + \gamma, 0^{1/H}\lambda)$. See (3.17) for the definition of J.

Define the remainder term $R^{\kappa_{m+1}, \varepsilon}$ by

$$R_t^{\kappa_{m+1}, \varepsilon} = \tilde{y}_t^{\varepsilon} - \big(\phi_t^0 + \varepsilon \phi_t^1 + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\kappa_m} \phi_t^{\kappa_m} \big).$$

We will estimate this remainder term in L^q-sense.

Proposition 7.1 *For any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$, $\|\phi^{\kappa_m}\|_{\alpha-hld} \in L^q(\mu)$ and*

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\|R^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}\|^q_{\alpha-hld}\big]^{1/q} = O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}}) \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

Proof. From the expression (7.7) and induction, it is easy to see that $\|\phi^{\kappa_m}\|_{\alpha-hld} \in \cap_{1<q<\infty} L^q$ for any m. Let us consider $R^{1,\varepsilon}_t = \triangle\phi = \tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 = I(\varepsilon w + \gamma, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda) - I(\gamma, \mathbf{0})$. Here, I stands for the Itô map and $\mathbf{0}$ stands for one-dimensional constant path staying at 0.

Define $\omega(s,t) = (\|w\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + \|\gamma\|^p_{\alpha-hld} + 1)(t-s)$ with $\alpha = 1/p$. Since this control function satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\varepsilon w_t + \gamma_t) - (\varepsilon w_s + \gamma_s)| + |\varepsilon^{1/H} t - \varepsilon^{1/H} s| &\le \omega(s,t)^{1/p} \\
|\{(\varepsilon w_t + \gamma_t) - (\varepsilon w_s + \gamma_s)\} - \{\gamma_t - \gamma_s\}| + |\varepsilon^{1/H} t - \varepsilon^{1/H} s| &\le \varepsilon\omega(s,t)^{1/p}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \le s \le t \le 1$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$, we can use Proposition 3.2 to obtain that

$$|R^{1,\varepsilon}_t - R^{1,\varepsilon}_s| \le \varepsilon C(1 + \omega(0,1))^{(p-1)/p} \exp(C\omega(0,1))\omega(s,t)^{1/p}$$

for some positive constant C. Since $p < 2$, we can use Fernique's theorem to obtain the desired estimate holds when $\kappa_{m+1} = 1$.

Before we prove the higher order cases, let us observe the concrete expression for several $R^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}$'s. In the sequel, we write $\kappa_{m+1} =: \kappa_m +$ for simplicity of notation. First we consider $R^{1+,\varepsilon} = R^{1/H,\varepsilon} = \tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 - \varepsilon\phi^1$. A straight forward computation yields;

$$\begin{aligned}
dR^{1+,\varepsilon}_t &= \varepsilon\{\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t) - \sigma(\phi^0_t)\} dw_t \\
&\quad + \Big[\{\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t) - \sigma(\phi^0_t)\} d\gamma_t - \nabla\sigma(\phi^0_t)\langle \varepsilon\phi^1_t, d\gamma_t\rangle\Big] + \varepsilon^{1/H} b(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t) dt. \qquad (7.8)
\end{aligned}$$

From this, we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned}
dR^{1+,\varepsilon}_t - \nabla\sigma(\phi^0_t)\langle R^{1+,\varepsilon}_t, d\gamma_t\rangle &= \varepsilon\{\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t) - \sigma(\phi^0_t)\} dw_t \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 d\theta \nabla\sigma(\phi^0_t + \theta R^{1,\varepsilon}_t)\langle R^{1,\varepsilon}_t, R^{1,\varepsilon}_t, d\gamma_t\rangle + \varepsilon^{1/H} b(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t) dt \quad (=: dL^{1+,\varepsilon}_t). \qquad (7.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that, on the right hand side, there are only $R^{1,\varepsilon}, \tilde{y}^\varepsilon, \phi^0, \gamma, w$, which are known quantities, but no $R^{1+,\varepsilon}$. Since $R^{1+,\varepsilon}_T = \tilde{J}(\gamma)_T \int_0^T \tilde{J}(\gamma)^{-1}_t dL^{1+,\varepsilon}_t$ as before, it suffices to show that $\|L^{1+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} = O(\varepsilon^{1/H})$ for any L^q.

Since $\|\varepsilon^{1/H}\int_0^{\cdot} b(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t)dt\|_{\alpha-hld} \le C\varepsilon^{1/H}\|\tilde{y}^\varepsilon\|_{\alpha-hld}$, the third term of $L^{1+,\varepsilon}$ is $O(\varepsilon^{1/H})$ in any L^q. Similarly, $\varepsilon\|\int_0^{\cdot}\{\sigma(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon_t) - \sigma(\phi^0_t)\}dw_t\|_{\alpha-hld} \le C\varepsilon\|R^{1,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}\|w\|_{\alpha-hld}$, the first term of $L^{1+,\varepsilon}$ is $O(\varepsilon^2)$ in any L^q. For any θ, $\|\nabla\sigma(\phi^0_\cdot + \theta R^{1,\varepsilon}_\cdot)\|_{\alpha-hld} \le C(\|\phi^0\|_{\alpha-hld} + \|R^{1,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld})$. Hence, we have

$$\|\int_0^{\cdot}\int_0^1 d\theta \nabla\sigma(\phi^0_t + \theta R^{1,\varepsilon}_t)\langle R^{1,\varepsilon}_t, R^{1,\varepsilon}_t, d\gamma_t\rangle\|_{\alpha-hld} \le C(\|\phi^0\|_{\alpha-hld} + \|R^{1,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld})\|R^{1,\varepsilon}\|^2_{\alpha-hld}.$$

We see from the above inequality that the second term of $L^{1+,\varepsilon}$ is $O(\varepsilon^2)$ in any L^q and hence $\|L^{1+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} = O(\varepsilon^{1/H})$ in any L^q. Thus, we have obtained the desired estimate for $R^{1+,\varepsilon} = R^{1/H,\varepsilon}$.

The estimate for $R^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon} = \tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 - \varepsilon\phi^1 - \varepsilon^{1/H}\phi^{1/H}$ can easily be obtained as follows. We can immediately see from (7.5) and (7.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} dR_t^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon} - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle R_t^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle &= \varepsilon\{\sigma(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\phi_t^0)\}dw_t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 d\theta\nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0 + \theta R_t^{1,\varepsilon})\langle R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle \\ &+ \varepsilon^{1/H}\{b(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - b(\phi_t^0)\}dt \qquad (=: dL_t^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

Notice that we have essentially shown that $\|L^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} = O(\varepsilon^2)$ in any L^q. Thus, we have obtained the desired estimate for $R^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon} = R^{2,\varepsilon}$.

Next, we will estimate $R^{2+,\varepsilon} = \tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 - \varepsilon\phi^1 - \varepsilon^{1/H}\phi^{1/H} - \varepsilon^2\phi^2$. From (7.4), (7.5), and (7.8), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} dR_t^{2+,\varepsilon} &= \big[\{\sigma(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\phi_t^0)\}\varepsilon dw_t - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle\varepsilon\phi_t^1, \varepsilon dw_t\rangle\big] \\ &+ \big[\{\sigma(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\phi_t^0)\}d\gamma_t - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle\varepsilon\phi_t^1 + \varepsilon^{1/H}\phi^{1/H} + \varepsilon^2\phi^2, d\gamma_t\rangle\big] \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle\varepsilon\phi_t^1, \varepsilon\phi_t^1, d\gamma_t\rangle + \varepsilon^{1/H}\{b(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - b(\phi_t^0)\}dt. \end{aligned} \tag{7.11}$$

The second term on the right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle dR_t^{2+,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle \\ &\qquad + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^2 d\theta}{2!}\nabla^3\sigma(\phi_t^0 + \theta R_t^{1,\varepsilon})\langle R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (7.11) is equivalent to the following;

$$\begin{aligned} dR_t^{2+,\varepsilon} - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle dR_t^{2+,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle &= \big[\{\sigma(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - \sigma(\phi_t^0)\}\varepsilon dw_t - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle\varepsilon\phi_t^1, \varepsilon dw_t\rangle\big] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\big[\nabla^2\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle - \nabla^2\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle\varepsilon\phi_t^1, \varepsilon\phi_t^1, d\gamma_t\rangle\big] \\ &+ \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^2 d\theta}{2!}\nabla^3\sigma(\phi_t^0 + \theta R_t^{1,\varepsilon})\langle R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle \\ &+ \varepsilon^{1/H}\{b(\tilde{y}_t^\varepsilon) - b(\phi_t^0)\}dt \qquad (=: dL_t^{2+,\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

Then, $R_T^{2+,\varepsilon} = \tilde{J}(\gamma)_T \int_0^T \tilde{J}(\gamma)_t^{-1} dL_t^{2+,\varepsilon}$.

Let us observe the right hand side of (7.12). There are no $R^{2+,\varepsilon}$ or ϕ^2. By the assumption of induction, we may only use the relation $R^{2,\varepsilon} = R^{(1/H)+,\varepsilon} = \tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 - \varepsilon\phi^1 - \varepsilon^{1/H}\phi^{1/H}$ and the estimates of $R^{\kappa,\varepsilon}$ for $\kappa = 1, 1/H, 2$ (and of ϕ^κ's). In the same way as above, by using the Taylor expansion, we can prove that $\|L^{2+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} = O(\varepsilon^{1+(1/H)})$ in any L^q. Cancellation of the terms of order ≤ 2 on the right hand side is no mystery because of the way ϕ^{κ}'s are defined. Thus, we have obtained the desired estimate for $R^{2+,\varepsilon} = R^{1+(1/H),\varepsilon}$.

Higher order remainder terms can be dealt with in a similar way. We give a sketch of proof. There exists

$$L_t^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon} = L^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}[\phi^0, \ldots, \phi^{\kappa_{m-1}}; R_t^{1,\varepsilon}, \ldots, R^{\kappa_m,\varepsilon}; w, \gamma]_t$$

such that $dR_t^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon} - \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle dR_t^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}, d\gamma_t\rangle = dL_t^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}$. Due to cancellation $\|L^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} = O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}})$ holds in any L^q. This proves the assertion. ∎

The next proposition shows that, when evaluated at $t = 1$, Eq. (7.1) gives an asymptotic expansion in $\mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proposition 7.2 *We have the following asymptotic expansion in* $\mathbf{D}_\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

$$\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon \sim \phi_1^0 + \varepsilon^{\kappa_1}\phi_1^{\kappa_1} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_2}\phi_1^{\kappa_2} + \cdots \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0. \tag{7.13}$$

Here, $0 = \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_2 < \cdots$ *are all the elements of* $\Lambda_1 = \mathbf{N} + \frac{1}{H}\mathbf{N}$ *in increasing order.*

Proof. By using induction and basic properties of Young integral, we can easily see that $\phi_1^{\kappa_m}$ is in $[\kappa_m]$-th inhomogeneous Wiener chaos for each t and m. In particular, $\phi_1^{\kappa_m} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$. If $k \geq [\kappa_m] + 1$, then $D^k R_1^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon} = D^k \tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon$. From Proposition 5.1, this is $O(\varepsilon^k)$, and hence $O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}})$ in any L^q. The Meyer equivalence implies that $R_1^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}$ is $O(\varepsilon^{\kappa_{m+1}})$ in $\mathbf{D}_{q,k}$ for any q and sufficiently large k. Since $\mathbf{D}_{q,k}$-norm is increasing in k, the proof is completed. ∎

We now recall the following Taylor expansion of Itô map around γ in the deterministic sense.

Lemma 7.3 (i) *For each m, there exists $c = c(\kappa_m)$ such that*

$$\|\phi^{\kappa_m}\|_{\alpha-hld} \leq c(1 + \|w\|_{\alpha-hld})^{\kappa_m} \qquad \text{for all } w \in C_0^{\alpha-hld}([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d).$$

(ii) *For each m and $r > 0$, there exists $c' = c'(\kappa_m, r)$ such that*

$$\|R^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} \leq c'(\varepsilon + \|\varepsilon w\|_{\alpha-hld})^{\kappa_{m+1}}, \qquad \text{if } \|\varepsilon w\|_{\alpha-hld} \leq r.$$

Proof. This is immediate since $\tilde{y}^\varepsilon = I(\varepsilon w + \gamma, \varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda)$ and Itô map I is Fréchet smooth by Li and Lyons's result [11]. It is also possible to prove this lemma by using the explicit expression of $R^{\kappa_{m+1},\varepsilon}$ and mathematical induction as in the proof of Proposition 7.1 above. ∎

8 Off-diagonal short time asymptotics

In this section we prove the short time asymptotics of kernel function $p_t(a, a')$ when $a \neq a'$. We basically follow Watanabe [20]. In this paper, however, we can localize around the energy minimizing path in the abstract Wiener space since Itô map is continuous in our setting. This makes the proof slightly simpler.

8.1 Localization around energy minimizing path

For $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$, let $\phi^0 = \phi^0(\gamma)$ be a unique solution of (7.2), which starts at $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Set, for $a \neq a'$,

$$K_a^{a'} = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \mid \phi_1^0(\gamma) = a'\}.$$

We only consider the case that $K_a^{a'}$ is not empty. For example, if **(A1)** is satisfied for any a, then $K_a^{a'}$ is not empty for any a'. From the Schilder-type large deviation theory, it is easy to see that $\inf\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\} = \min\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\}$.

We continue to assume **(A1)**. Now we introduce another assumption;

(A2): $\bar{\gamma} \in K_a^{a'}$ which minimizes $\mathcal{H}$-norm exists uniquely.

In the sequel, $\bar{\gamma}$ denotes the minimizer in Assumption **(A2)** and we use the results of the previous section for this $\bar{\gamma}$.

Note that (i) the mapping $\gamma \in \mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{W} \mapsto \phi_1^0(\gamma) \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is Fréchet differentiable and (ii) its Jacobian is a surjective linear mapping from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathbf{R}^d$ for any γ, because there exists a positive constant $c = c(\gamma)$ such that

$$\Big(\langle D\phi_1^{0,i}(\gamma), D\phi_1^{0,j}(\gamma)\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*}\Big)_{1 \le i,j \le n} \ge c \cdot \mathrm{Id}_n. \tag{8.1}$$

This can be shown in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.3. (Actually, it is easier since γ is non-random and fixed here.)

Therefore, by the Lagrange multiplier method, there exists $\bar{\nu} = (\bar{\nu}_1, \ldots, \bar{\nu}_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ uniquely such that the map

$$\mathcal{H} \times \mathbf{R}^n \ni (\gamma, \nu) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \langle \nu, \phi_1^0(\gamma) - a'\rangle_{\mathbf{R}^n} \in \mathbf{R} \tag{8.2}$$

attains extremum at $(\bar{\gamma}, \bar{\nu})$. By differentiating in the direction of $k \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle \bar{\gamma}, k\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \bar{\nu}, D_k\phi_1^0(\bar{\gamma})\rangle_{\mathbf{R}^n} = \Big\langle \bar{\nu}, \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_1 \int_0^1 \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_t^{-1}\sigma(\phi_t^0(\bar{\gamma}))dk_t\Big\rangle_{\mathbf{R}^n}. \tag{8.3}$$

Here, the definition of $\tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})$ was given just below (7.7) and the integral on the right hand side is Young integral. Hence, $\langle \bar{\gamma}, \cdot\,\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ extends to a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{W}$.

Let us introduce Besov-type norms. In the context of Malliavin calculus, these norms are often more useful than Hölder norms and p-variation norms since (a power of) these norms become $\mathbf{D}_\infty$-functionals. For $m > 0$, $0 < \theta < 1$, and $x \in C_0([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)$, we set

$$\|x\|_{m,\theta-B} := \Bigl(\iint_{0 \le s \le t \le 1} \frac{|x_t - x_s|^m}{|t-s|^{2+m\theta}} dsdt\Bigr)^{1/m}.$$

and $C_0^{m,\theta-B}([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d) = \{x \in C_0([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d) \mid \|x\|_{m,\theta-B} < \infty\}$. It is known that $\|x\|_{\theta-hld} \le c\|x\|_{m,\theta-B}$ for some constant $c = c_{m,\theta} > 0$. Hence, $C_0^{m,\theta-B}([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d) \subset C_0^{\theta-hld}([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)$.

Let (w_t) be fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (1/2, 1)$ and let $\alpha (= 1/p) < H$ as before. Since $\mathbb{E}[|w_t - w_s|^2] = d|t-s|^{2H}$, we can easily see $\mathbb{E}[\|x\|_{m,\alpha-B}^m] < \infty$ if $m > 1/(H-\alpha)$. Therefore, the law of fBm, $\mu = \mu^H$, is supported in $C_0^{m,\alpha-B}([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)$ if $m > 1/(H-\alpha)$. Set $\mathcal{W}_B$ to be the closure of Cameron-Martin space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^H$ in $C_0^{m,\alpha-B}([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)$. Then, $(\mathcal{W}_B, \mathcal{H}, \mu)$ is also an abstract Wiener space.

Now we recall Schilder-type large deviation principle for scaled Gaussian measures. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let μ_ε be the law of the law of the process $(\varepsilon w_t)_{0 \le t \le 1}$. This is a measure on $\mathcal{W}_B$. Set $\mathcal{I}(w) = \|w\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$ (if $w \in \mathcal{H}$) and $\mathcal{I}(w) = \infty$ (otherwise). It is well-known that $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{W}_B \to [0, \infty]$ is lower semicontinuous and that $\mathcal{I}$ is good, i.e., the level set $\{w \mid \mathcal{I}(w) \le r\}$ is compact in $\mathcal{W}_B$ for any $r \in [0, \infty)$.

The family $\{\mu_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfies large deviation principle as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ with a good rate function $\mathcal{I}$, that is, for any measurable set $A \subset \mathcal{W}_B$

$$-\inf_{w \in A^\circ} \mathcal{I}(w) \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mu_\varepsilon(A^\circ) \le \limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \mu_\varepsilon(\bar{A}) \le -\inf_{w \in \bar{A}} \mathcal{I}(w). \tag{8.4}$$

Next, set $\hat{\mu}_\varepsilon = \mu_\varepsilon \otimes \delta_{\varepsilon^{1/H}\lambda}$, where λ is a one-dimensional path defined by $\lambda_t = t$ and $\otimes$ stands for the product of probability measures. In other words, $\hat{\mu}_\varepsilon$ is the law of the $(d+1)$-dimensional process $(\varepsilon w_t, \varepsilon^{1/H} t)_{0 \le t \le 1}$ under μ. This measure is supported on $\mathcal{W}_B \oplus \mathbf{R}\langle\lambda\rangle \subset C_0^{m,\alpha-B}([0,1]; \mathbf{R}^{d+1})$. Define $\hat{\hat{\mathcal{I}}}(w; l) = \|w\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$ (if $w \in \mathcal{H}$ and $l_t \equiv 0$) and $\hat{\mathcal{I}}(w, l) = \infty$ (otherwise). Here, l is a one-dimensional path.

From (8.4) we can easily show that $\{\hat{\mu}_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ satisfies large deviation principle as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ with a good rate function $\hat{\mathcal{I}}$, that is, for any measurable set $A \subset \mathcal{W}_B \oplus \mathbf{R}\langle\lambda\rangle$,

$$-\inf_{w \in A^\circ} \hat{\mathcal{I}}(w) \le \liminf_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \hat{\mu}_\varepsilon(A^\circ) \le \limsup_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \varepsilon^2 \log \hat{\mu}_\varepsilon(\bar{A}) \le -\inf_{w \in \bar{A}} \hat{\mathcal{I}}(w). \tag{8.5}$$

We will use (8.5) in Lemma 8.1 below to show that we may concentrate on a neighborhood of the minimizer $\bar{\gamma}$ contributes to the asymptotic expansion.

From now on, we will fix an even integer $m > 0$ such that $m > 1/(H-\alpha)$. Then, it is easy to check $\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}^m \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$. In fact, this functional is an element of mth inhomogeneous Wiener chaos, i.e., $D^{m+1}\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}^m = 0$.

Now we introduce a cut-off function. Let $\psi : \mathbf{R} \to [0,1]$ be a smooth function such that $\psi(u) = 1$ if $|u| \le 1/2$ and $\psi(u) = 0$ if $|u| \ge 1$. For each $\eta > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we set

$$\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w) = \psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{\eta^m}\|\varepsilon w - \bar{\gamma}\|_{m,\alpha-B}^m\Bigr).$$

We can easily see that $\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, \cdot\,) \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$. Shifting by $\bar{\gamma}/\varepsilon$, we have

$$\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon}) = \psi\Big(\frac{\varepsilon^m}{\eta^m}\|w\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\Big).$$

It is easy to see from Taylor expansion for ϕ that, for any $\eta > 0$ and any $M \in \mathbf{N}$, the following asymptotics holds;

$$\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon}) = 1 + O(\varepsilon^M) \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0. \tag{8.6}$$

The following lemma states that only the paths sufficiently close to the minimizer $\bar{\gamma}$ contribute to the asymptotics.

Lemma 8.1 *Assume* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)***. Then, for any $\eta > 0$, there exists $c = c_\eta > 0$ such that*

$$0 \le \mathbb{E}\big[(1 - \chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w)) \cdot \delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\big] = O\Big(\exp\{-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}} + c}{2\varepsilon^2}\}\Big) \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \searrow 0.$$

Proof. We take $\eta' > 0$ arbitrarily and we will fix it for a while. It is obvious that

$$0 \le \mathbb{E}\big[(1 - \chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w)) \cdot \delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\big] = \mathbb{E}\Big[(1 - \chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w))\psi\Big(\frac{|y_1^\varepsilon - a'|^2}{\eta'^2}\Big) \cdot \delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\Big]. \tag{8.7}$$

Set $g(u) = u \vee 0$ for $u \in \mathbf{R}$. Then, in the sense of distributional derivative, $g''(u) = \delta_0$. Take a bounded continuous function $C : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ such that $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n) = g(u_1 - a'_1)g(u_2 - a'_2)\cdots g(u_n - a'_n)$ if $|u - a'| \le 2\eta'$. Then, the right hand side of (8.7) is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[(1 - \psi)\big(\frac{1}{\eta^m}\|\varepsilon w - \bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\big) \cdot \psi\Big(\frac{|y_1^\varepsilon - a'|^2}{\eta'^2}\Big) \cdot (\partial_1^2 \cdots \partial_n^2 C)(y_1^\varepsilon)\Big]. \tag{8.8}$$

Now, we use integration by parts on the abstract Wiener space as in (4.1)–(4.2) to see that (8.8) is equal to a finite sum of the following form;

$$\sum_{j,k} \mathbb{E}\Big[F_{j,k}(\varepsilon, w) \cdot (1 - \psi)^{(j)}\big(\frac{1}{\eta^m}\|\varepsilon w - \bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\big) \cdot \psi^{(k)}\Big(\frac{|y_1^\varepsilon - a'|^2}{\eta'^2}\Big) \cdot C(y_1^\varepsilon)\Big]. \tag{8.9}$$

Here, $F_{j,k}(\varepsilon, w)$ is a polynomial in components of (i) y_1^ε and its derivatives, (ii) $\|\varepsilon w - \bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}$ and its derivatives, (iii) $\tau(\varepsilon)$, which is Malliavin covariance matrix of y_1^ε, and its derivatives, and (iv) $\gamma(\varepsilon) = \tau(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Note that the derivatives of $\gamma(\varepsilon)$ do not appear.

From Proposition 5.3, there exists $r' > 0$ such that $|\gamma^{ij}(\varepsilon)| = O(\varepsilon^{-r'})$ in L^q as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ for all $1 < q < \infty$. (Recall a well-known formula to obtain the inverse matrix A^{-1} with the adjugate matrix of A divided by $\det A$.) Therefore, there exists $r > 0$ such that $|F_{j,k}(\varepsilon)| = O(\varepsilon^{-r})$ in L^q as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ for all $1 < q < \infty$.

By Hölder's inequality, (8.9) is dominated by

$$\frac{c}{\varepsilon^r}\sum_{j,k}\mathbb{E}\Big[\big|(1-\psi)^{(j)}\big(\frac{1}{\eta^m}\|\varepsilon w-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\big)\big|^{q'}\,\Big|\psi^{(k)}\Big(\frac{|y_1^\varepsilon-a'|^2}{\eta'^2}\Big)\Big|^{q'}\Big]^{1/q'}$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon^r}\mu\Big[\|\varepsilon w-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\geq\frac{\eta^m}{2},\quad |y_1^\varepsilon-a'|\leq\eta'\Big]^{1/q'}. \tag{8.10}$$

Here, $1/q+1/q'=1$ and $c=c(q,q',\eta,\eta')$ is a positive constant, which may change from line to line.

Since we may let $q'\searrow 1$ after taking lim sup, we obtain the following;

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\varepsilon^2\log\mathbb{E}\big[(1-\chi_\eta(\varepsilon,w))\cdot\delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\big]\\
&\leq\limsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\varepsilon^2\log\mu\Big[\|\varepsilon w-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\geq\frac{\eta^m}{2},\quad |y_1^\varepsilon-a'|\leq\eta'\Big]\\
&=\limsup_{\varepsilon\searrow 0}\varepsilon^2\log\hat{\mu}^\varepsilon\Big[\big\{(w,l)\in\mathcal{W}_B\oplus\mathbf{R}\langle\lambda\rangle\mid\|w-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\geq\frac{\eta^m}{2},\,|I(w,l)_1-a'|\leq\eta'\big\}\Big]\\
&\leq-\inf\Big\{\frac{\|\gamma\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}}{2}\mid\|\gamma-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\geq\frac{\eta^m}{2},\quad|\phi^0[\gamma]_1-a'|\leq\eta'\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

Here, I denotes the Itô map corresponding to ODE (5.1) and we have used the large deviation for the last inequality. (Note that continuity of Itô map is used.) Recall that $\phi^0[\gamma]=I(\gamma,\mathbf{0})$ is given by ODE (7.2).

Now let η' tend to 0. As η' decreases, the right hand side of (8.11) decreases. The proof is finished if the limit is strictly smaller than $-\|\bar{\gamma}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}/2$. Assume otherwise. Then, there exists $\{\gamma_k\}_{k=1}^\infty\subset\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|\gamma_k-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\geq\frac{\eta^m}{2},\quad|\phi^0[\gamma_k]_1-a'|\leq\frac{1}{k},\ \text{and,}\ \liminf_{k\to\infty}(-\frac{\|\gamma_k\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}}{2})\geq-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}}{2}.$$

In particular, $\{\gamma_k\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{H}$ and, hence, precompact in $\mathcal{W}_B$. Let γ_∞ be any limit point. For simplicity, a subsequence that converges to γ_∞ is again denoted by $\{\gamma_k\}$. Since $\gamma\mapsto\phi^0[\gamma]_1$ is continuous with respect to the topology of $\mathcal{W}_B$, we see that $\phi^0[\gamma_\infty]_1=a'$ holds. Also, we have $\|\gamma_\infty-\bar{\gamma}\|^m_{m,\alpha-B}\geq\eta^m/2$. So, $\gamma_\infty\neq\bar{\gamma}$. From the lower semicontinuity of the rate function, we see that $\gamma_\infty\in\mathcal{H}$ and $\|\gamma_\infty\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}/2\leq\|\bar{\gamma}\|^2_{\mathcal{H}}/2$. This clearly contradicts Assumption **(A2)**. ∎

8.2 Integrability lemmas

In this subsection, we prove a few lemmas for integrability of Wiener functionals of exponential type which will be used in the short time asymptotic expansion.

Throughout this subsection we assume **(A2)**. Let $\bar{\gamma}$ be as in **(A2)** and let ϕ^{κ_j} and $R^{\kappa_j+,\varepsilon} = R^{\kappa_{j+1},\varepsilon}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ be as in Section 7 with $\gamma = \bar{\gamma}$. First we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R^{2+,\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon^2} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 - \varepsilon\phi^1 - \varepsilon^{1/H}\phi^{1/H} - \varepsilon^2\phi^2) \\ &= \varepsilon^{\kappa_4-2}\phi^{\kappa_4} + \varepsilon^{\kappa_5-2}\phi^{\kappa_5} + \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\kappa_4 = 1 + (1/H)$ and $\kappa_5 = 3 \wedge (2/H)$.

Lemma 8.2 *Assume* **(A2)**. *For any* $M > 0$, *there exists* $\eta > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(M\langle\bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2\big) I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}\big] < \infty.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, if $\|\varepsilon w\|_{\alpha-hld} \le 1$, then there exists a constant $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|R^{2+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} \le c_1(\varepsilon + \|\varepsilon w\|_{\alpha-hld})^{1+(1/H)} \le c_2(\varepsilon + \|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B})^{1+(1/H)}.$$

Hence, if $\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \le \eta \le 1$, then

$$\|R^{2+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}/\varepsilon^2 \le c_2(1 + \|w\|_{m,\alpha-B})^2(\varepsilon + \eta)^{(1/H)-1}.$$

Recall that, by Fernique's theorem, there exists a positive constant $\beta > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\beta(1 + \|w\|_{m,\alpha-B})^2)] < \infty$. Take $0 < \eta \le 1$ so that $M|\bar{\nu}|c_2(2\eta)^{(1/H)-1} \le \beta$. Then, we see that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le\eta} \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(M\langle\bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2\big) I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}\big] < \infty.$$

Note that, if $\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \le \eta$ and $\eta \le \varepsilon \le 1$, then $\|R^{2+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}/\varepsilon^2$ is bounded. This completes the proof. ▌

Next we consider

$$\frac{R^{1+,\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\tilde{y}^\varepsilon - \phi^0 - \varepsilon\phi^1) = \varepsilon^{(1/H)-1}\phi^{1/H} + \varepsilon^1\phi^2 + \cdots .$$

Lemma 8.3 *Assume* **(A2)**. *For any* $M > 0$, *there exists* $\eta > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(M\|R^{1+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}^2/\varepsilon^2\big) I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}\big] < \infty.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, if $\|\varepsilon w\|_{\alpha-hld} \le 1$, then there exists a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|R^{1+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld} \le c_1(\varepsilon + \|\varepsilon w\|_{\alpha-hld})^{1/H} \le c_2(\varepsilon + \|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B})^{1/H}.$$

Hence, if $\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \le \eta \le 1$, then

$$\|R^{2+,\varepsilon}\|_{\alpha-hld}^2/\varepsilon^2 \le c_2(1 + \|w\|_{m,\alpha-B})^2(\varepsilon + \eta)^{(2/H)-2}.$$

Then, we can prove the lemma in the same way as in Lemma 8.2. ▌

From now on we assume **(A1)** and **(A2)**. In addition, we introduce the following assumption;

(A3)': $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2 \rangle) \mid \phi_1^1 = 0] < \infty.$

For all $1 \le j \le n$, $\phi_1^{1,j} \in \mathcal{W}_B^* \subset \mathcal{H}^*$. When we regard $\phi_1^{1,j}$ as an element of $\mathcal{H}$ by Riesz isometry, we write $^\sharp\phi_1^{1,j} \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{W}_B$. We have an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \ker \phi_1^1 \oplus (\ker \phi_1^1)^\perp$. We denote by π the orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\ker \phi_1^1$. Note that $(\ker \phi_1^1)^\perp$ is an n-dimensional linear subspace spanned by $\{^\sharp\phi_1^{1,1}, \ldots, {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,n}\}$. Since $\dim(\ker \phi_1^1)^\perp < \infty$, the abstract Wiener space splits into two; $\mathcal{W}_B = \overline{\ker \phi_1^1}^{\|\cdot\|_{m,\alpha-B}} \oplus (\ker \phi_1^1)^\perp$. The projection π naturally extends to the one from $\mathcal{W}_B$ onto $\overline{\ker \phi_1^1}^{\|\cdot\|_{m,\alpha-B}}$, which is again denoted by the same symbol. There exist Gaussian measures μ_1 and μ_2 such that $(\overline{\ker \phi_1^1}^{\|\cdot\|_{m,\alpha-B}}, \ker \phi_1^1, \mu_1)$ and $((\ker \phi_1^1)^\perp, (\ker \phi_1^1)^\perp, \mu_2)$ are abstract Wiener spaces. Naturally, $\mu_1 = \pi_* \mu$, $\mu_2 = \pi_*^\perp \mu$ and $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ (the product measure). One may think μ_1 is the definition of the conditional measure $\mathbb{P}[\,\cdot \mid \phi_1^1 = 0]$ in **(A3)'** above.

Therefore, **(A3)'** is equivalent to the following;

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2 \circ \pi \rangle)] < \infty. \tag{8.12}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(w, w') = {} & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_1 \int_0^1 \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_t^{-1} \{\nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^1(w'), dw_t \rangle + \nabla\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^1(w), dw'_t \rangle\} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_1 \int_0^1 \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_t^{-1} \nabla^2\sigma(\phi_t^0)\langle \phi_t^1(w), \phi_t^1(w'), d\bar{\gamma}_t \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

where $\phi_T^1(w) = \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_T \int_0^T \tilde{J}(\bar{\gamma})_t^{-1} \sigma(\phi_t^0) dw_t$. Then, ψ is a bounded bilinear mapping on $\mathcal{W}_B$ and so is $\psi\langle \pi\cdot, \pi\cdot \rangle$. Clearly, $\psi(w, w) = \phi_1^2(w)$ and $\psi(\pi w, \pi w) = \phi_1^2(\pi w)$. By Goodman's theorem (see Theorem 4.6, p. 83, [9]), restricted on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$, $\langle \bar{\nu}, \psi\langle \pi\cdot, \pi\cdot \rangle \rangle$ is of trace class and, in particular, Hilbert-Schmidt. The corresponding trace class operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and corresponding element of the second Wiener chaos are denoted by A and Ξ_A, respectively. Then, $\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2(\pi w) \rangle = \Xi_A(w) + \mathrm{Tr}(A)$. Hence, (8.12) is equivalent to $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\Xi_A)] < \infty$, which in turn is equivalent to $\sup \mathrm{Spec}(A) < 1/2$. Since the inequality is strict, there exists $r > 1$ such that $\sup \mathrm{Spec}(rA) < 1/2$. This implies $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\Xi_{rA})] = \mathbb{E}[\exp(r\Xi_A)] < \infty$. Summing it up, we have seen that **(A3)'** is equivalent to the following;

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(r\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2 \circ \pi \rangle)] < \infty \qquad \text{for some } r > 1. \tag{8.14}$$

Let us check here that **(A3)** and **(A3)'** are equivalent under **(A1)**, **(A2)**.

Proposition 8.4 *Under* **(A1)** *and* **(A2)**, *the two conditions* **(A3)** *and* **(A3)'** *are equivalent.*

Proof. As is explaind above, $(\mathbf{A3})'$ is equivalent to $\sup \mathrm{Spec}(A) < 1/2$. Keep in mind that the only accumulation point of $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$ is 0, since A is of trace class. Let $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \ni u \mapsto f(u) \in K_a^{a'}$ be a smooth curve in $K_a^{a'}$ such that $f(0) = \bar{\gamma}$ and $f'(0) \neq 0$ as in **(A3)**. Then, a straight forward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d^2}{du^2}\Big|_{u=0} \frac{\|f(u)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2} = \frac{d^2}{du^2}\Big|_{u=0} \Big(\frac{\|f(u)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2} - \langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^0(f_u) - a' \rangle \Big) \\
&= \|f'(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \langle f''(0), \bar{\gamma} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \big\langle \bar{\nu}, D\phi_1^0(\bar{\gamma}) \langle f''(0) \rangle \big\rangle - \big\langle \bar{\nu}, D^2\phi_1^0(\bar{\gamma}) \langle f'(0), f'(0) \rangle \big\rangle \\
&= \|f'(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \big\langle \bar{\nu}, D^2\phi_1^0(\bar{\gamma}) \langle \pi f'(0), \pi f'(0) \rangle \big\rangle = \|f'(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \big\langle \bar{\nu}, \psi \langle \pi f'(0), \pi f'(0) \rangle \big\rangle, \quad (8.15)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (8.2)–(8.3) and the fact that $f'(0)$ is tangent to the submanifold $K_a^{a'}$. Now, it is easy to see that $\sup \mathrm{Spec}(A) < 1/2$ is equivalent to that right hand side of (8.15) is strictly larger than $\|f'(0)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2/2$. ▮

The following is a key technical lemma. It states that, restricted on a sufficiently small subset, $\exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon} \rangle / \varepsilon^2) \in \cup_{1<q<\infty} L^q$ uniformly in ε.

Lemma 8.5 *Assume* **(A1)**, **(A2)** *and* **(A3)**. *Then, there exists* $r_1 > 1$ *and* $\eta > 0$ *such that*

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1 \langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon} \rangle / \varepsilon^2\big) I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \leq \eta\}} I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon| \leq \eta_1\}}\big] < \infty$$

for any $\eta_1 > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 8.2 and the relation $R_1^{2,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon^2 = \phi_1^2 + R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon^2$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\leq 1} \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1 \langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2 \rangle\big) I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \leq \eta\}} I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon| \leq \eta_1\}}\big] < \infty. \tag{8.16}$$

We give an explicit expression for the projection π. Set $C_{jj'} = \langle \phi_1^{1,j}, \phi_1^{1,j'} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ and $C = (C_{jj'})_{1 \leq j,j' \leq n} \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbf{R})$. The components of its inverse is denoted by $C^{-1} = (D_{jj'})_{1 \leq j,j' \leq n}$. By straight forward calculation, $\pi : \mathcal{H} \to \ker \phi_1^1$ is given by

$$\pi h = h - \sum_{j,j'} {}_{\mathcal{H}^*}\langle \phi_1^{1,j}, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} D_{jj'} \cdot {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,j'}.$$

From this, it is easy see that $\pi : \mathcal{W}_B \to \overline{\ker \phi_1^1}$ is given by

$$\pi w = w - \sum_{j,j'} \phi_1^{1,j}(w) D_{jj'} \cdot {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,j'}. \tag{8.17}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1^2(w) = \psi\langle w, w \rangle &= \phi_1^2(\pi w) + 2 \sum_{j,j'} \phi_1^{1,j}(w) D_{jj'} \cdot \psi\langle w, {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,j'} \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{j,j',k,k'} \phi_1^{1,j}(w) \phi_1^{1,k}(w) D_{jj'} D_{kk'} \cdot \psi\langle {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,j'}, {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,k'} \rangle =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \qquad (8.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Exponential integrability of the first term J_1 on the right hand side of (8.18) is given in (8.14). So, we estimate the second term J_2. Since $\varepsilon\phi_1^1(w) = R_1^{1+,\varepsilon}(w) - R_1^{1,\varepsilon}(w)$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_1^{1,j}(w)\psi\langle w, {}^\sharp\phi_1^{1,j'}\rangle| &\le c_1\Big\{\Big|\frac{R_1^{1+,\varepsilon}(w)}{\varepsilon}\Big| + \Big|\frac{R_1^{1,\varepsilon}(w)}{\varepsilon}\Big|\Big\}\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\\ &\le c_1\Big\{\Big|\frac{c'R_1^{1+,\varepsilon}(w)}{\varepsilon}\Big|^2 + \frac{\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}^2}{4c'^2}\Big\} + c_1\Big|\frac{R_1^{1,\varepsilon}(w)}{\varepsilon}\Big|\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\end{aligned}$$

for any $c' > 0$.

Set $c_2 = 2c_1 n^2 \sup_{j,j'} |D_{j,j'}|$ and let $M > 0$. Then, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\big[e^{M|J_2|}I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon|\le\eta_1\}}\big] &\le \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(3Mc_2c'^2|R_1^{1+,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon|^2\big)I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}\big]^{1/3}\\ &\quad\times\mathbb{E}\big[e^{3Mc_2\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}^2/(4c')}\big]^{1/3}\mathbb{E}\big[e^{3Mc_2\eta_1\|w\|_{m,\alpha-B}}\big]^{1/3}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $M > 0$ and $\eta_1 > 0$, the third factor is integrable. If c' is chosen sufficiently large, then the second factor is also integrable by Fernique's theorem. By Lemma 8.2, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $\sup_\varepsilon$ of the first factor is finite and, hence,

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \mathbb{E}\big[e^{M|J_2|}I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon|\le\eta_1\}}\big] < \infty. \tag{8.19}$$

Since $\phi_1^{1,j}(w)\phi_1^{1,k}(w) = \varepsilon^{-1}\{R_1^{1+,\varepsilon}(w)^j - R_1^{1,\varepsilon}(w)^j\}\phi_1^{1,k}(w)$, we can deal with J_3 in the same way. For any $M > 0$ and $\eta_1 > 0$, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{0<\varepsilon\le 1} \mathbb{E}\big[e^{M|J_3|}I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon|\le\eta_1\}}\big] < \infty. \tag{8.20}$$

Let $r > 1$ be as in (8.14). Set $r_1 = (1+r)/2 > 1$, $q = 2r/(1+r) > 1$, and $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. Then, from Hölder's inequality and (8.14), (8.18)–(8.20), we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1\langle\bar\nu, \phi_1^2\rangle\big)I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon|\le\eta_1\}}\big]\\ &\le \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r\langle\bar\nu, \phi_1^2\circ\pi\rangle\big)\big]^{1/q}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\mathbb{E}\big[e^{2q'r_1|\bar\nu||J_2|}I_{\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B}\le\eta\}}I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon|\le\eta_1\}}\big]^{1/(2q')}.\end{aligned}$$

From this, (8.16) is immediate. This completes the proof. ▌

8.3 Proof of off-diagonal short time asymptotics

In this subsection we prove Theorem 2.2, namely, off-diagonal short time asymptotics of the density of the solution $(y_t) = (y_t(a))$ of Young ODE (5.1) driven by fBm (w_t) with $1/2 < H < 1$ under Assumptions **(A1)**–**(A3)**.

First, let us calculate the kernel $p(t, a, a')$. Take $\eta > 0$ as in Lemma 8.5. Then, we see

$$\begin{aligned}p(\varepsilon^{1/H}, a, a') &= \mathbb{E}\big[\delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\big]\\ &= \mathbb{E}\big[\delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w)\big] + \mathbb{E}\big[\delta_{a'}(y_1^\varepsilon)\big\{1 - \chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w)\big\}\big] =: I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned}$$

As we have shown in Lemma 8.1, the second term I_2 on the right hand side does not contribute to the asymptotic expansion. So, we have only to calculate the first term I_1. By Cameron-Martin formula,

$$I_1 = \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\langle \bar{\gamma}, w\rangle\big)\delta_{a'}(\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon})\chi_{\eta}(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\big].$$

Recall that $\langle \bar{\gamma}, w\rangle = \langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^1(w)\rangle$ for all w. Hence, noting that $\phi^{1/H}$ is non-random, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \exp\big(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2}\big)\mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^1\rangle\big)\delta_{a'}(a' + \varepsilon\phi_1^1 + \varepsilon^{1/H}\phi_1^{1/H} + R_1^{2,\varepsilon})\chi_{\eta}(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\big] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n}\exp\big(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2}\big)\mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^1\rangle\big)\delta_0(\phi_1^1 + \varepsilon^{(1/H)-1}\phi_1^{1/H} + \varepsilon^{-1}R_1^{2,\varepsilon})\chi_{\eta}(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\big] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n}\exp\Big(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^{1/H}\rangle}{\varepsilon^{2-(1/H)}}\Big) \\ &\qquad \times \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2\big)\delta_0(\phi_1^1 + \varepsilon^{(1/H)-1}\phi_1^{1/H} + \varepsilon^{-1}R_1^{2,\varepsilon})\chi_{\eta}(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\big] \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n}\exp\Big(-\frac{\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}{2\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^{1/H}\rangle}{\varepsilon^{2-(1/H)}}\Big)\mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\delta_0\big(\frac{\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a'}{\varepsilon}\big)\big], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(\varepsilon, w) = \exp\big(\varepsilon^{-2}\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon}\rangle\big)\chi_{\eta}(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\psi\Big(\frac{1}{\eta_1^2}\Big|\frac{\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a'}{\varepsilon}\Big|^2\Big) \tag{8.21}$$

for any positive constant η_1. It is easy to see that (i) $\chi_{\eta}(\varepsilon, w + \bar{\gamma}/\varepsilon)$ and its derivatives vanish outside $\{\|\varepsilon w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \leq \eta\}$ and (ii) $\psi\big(\eta_1^{-2}\big|(\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a')/\varepsilon\big|^2\big)$ and its derivatives vanish outside $\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon| \leq \eta_1\}$. Hence, by Lemma 8.5, $F(\varepsilon, w) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\infty}$ and $F(\varepsilon, w) = O(1)$ with respect to that topology. Since $\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a')/\varepsilon)$ admits an asymptotic expansion in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$, the problem reduces to whether $F(\varepsilon, w)$ admits an asymptotic expansion in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{\infty}$.

Lemma 8.6 *Assume* **(A1)**–**(A3)**. *For any* $M \in \mathbf{N}$, *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\delta_0\big(\frac{\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a'}{\varepsilon}\big)\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\psi(|\phi_1^1/\eta_1|^2)\delta_0\big(\frac{\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a'}{\varepsilon}\big)\big] + O(\varepsilon^M)$$

as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$.

Proof. By using Taylor expansion for ψ, we see that, for given M, there exist $m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $G_j(\varepsilon, w) \in \mathbf{D}_{\infty}$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ such that

$$\psi\Big(\frac{1}{\eta_1^2}\Big|\frac{\tilde{y}_1^{\varepsilon} - a'}{\varepsilon}\Big|^2\Big) = \psi\Big(\Big|\frac{\phi_1^1}{\eta_1}\Big|^2\Big) + \sum_{j=1}^{m}\psi^{(j)}\Big(\Big|\frac{\phi_1^1}{\eta_1}\Big|^2\Big)G_j(\varepsilon, w) + O(\varepsilon^M) \tag{8.22}$$

in $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. $G_j(\varepsilon, w) = O(1)$, but its explicit form is not important. Note that $\psi^{(j)}(|\phi_1^1/\eta_1|^2)T(\phi_1^1) = 0$ if $j \geq 1$ and $\mathrm{supp}(T) \subset \{a \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |a| < 1/2\}$.

By Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 5.3, $\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)$ admits an asymptotic expansion in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$ as follows. As before, we set $\{0 = \nu_0 < \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \cdots\}$ to be all the elements of Λ_3 in increasing order. For given M, let $l \in \mathbf{N}$ be the smallest integer such that $M \leq \nu_{l+1}$. Then, for some $\Psi_{\nu_j} \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$ $(1 \leq j \leq l)$, it holds that

$$\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon) = \delta_0(\phi_1^1) + \varepsilon^{\nu_1}\Phi_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\nu_l}\Phi_{\nu_l} + O(\varepsilon^{\nu_{l+1}}) \tag{8.23}$$

in $\tilde{\mathbf{D}}_{-\infty}$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. Here, Ψ_{ν_j} is a finite linear combination of terms of the form

$$\partial^\alpha \delta_0(\phi_1^1) \times \{\text{a polynomial of the components of } \phi_1^{\kappa_i}\text{'s}\}.$$

Hence, $\psi^{(j')}(|\phi_1^1/\eta_1|^2)\Psi_{\nu_j}$ vanish for all j, j'.

Now, using (8.22) and (8.23), we prove the lemma.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)\big] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\Big[F(\varepsilon, w)\psi\Big(\frac{1}{\eta_1^2}\Big|\frac{\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a'}{\varepsilon}\Big|^2\Big)\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)\Big] \\
&= \mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\psi(|\phi_1^1/\eta_1|^2)\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)\big] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\Big[F(\varepsilon, w)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m \psi^{(j)}\Big(\Big|\frac{\phi_1^1}{\eta_1}\Big|^2\Big)G_j(\varepsilon, w)\Big)\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)\Big] + O(\varepsilon^M) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\psi(|\phi_1^1/\eta_1|^2)\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)\big] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}\Big[F(\varepsilon, w)\Big(\sum_{j=1}^m \psi^{(j)}\Big(\Big|\frac{\phi_1^1}{\eta_1}\Big|^2\Big)G_j(\varepsilon, w)\Big)\big(\delta_0(\phi_1^1) + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\nu_l}\Phi_{\nu_l}\big)\Big] + O(\varepsilon^M) \\
&= \mathbb{E}\big[F(\varepsilon, w)\psi(|\phi_1^1/\eta_1|^2)\delta_0((\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a')/\varepsilon)\big] + O(\varepsilon^M).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown the lemma. ▮

Set $\Lambda_2' = \{\kappa - 2 \mid \kappa \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \{0, 1, 1/H\}\} = \{0 < H^{-1} - 1 < \big(3 \wedge 2H^{-1}\big) - 2 < \cdots\}$. Next we set $\Lambda_3' = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m \mid m \in \mathbf{N}_+ \text{ and } a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \Lambda_2'\}$. In the following lemma, $\{0 = \rho_0 < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < \cdots\}$ stands for all the elements of Λ_3' in increasing order.

Lemma 8.7 *Assume* **(A1)**–**(A3)** *and let* $F(\varepsilon, w) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$ *as in (8.21). Then, for every* $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(\varepsilon, w)\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2) \\
&\quad = \exp\big(\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2(w)\rangle\big)\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2)^2\{1 + \varepsilon^{\rho_1}\gamma_{\rho_1}(w) + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\rho_k}\gamma_{\rho_k}(w)\} + F_{k+1}(\varepsilon, w),
\end{aligned}$$

where $F_k(\varepsilon, w) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$ *satisfies that*

$$F_{k+1}(\varepsilon, w)T(\phi_1^1) = O(\varepsilon^{\rho_{k+1}}) \qquad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_{-\infty} \text{ as } \varepsilon \searrow 0$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ *with* $\mathrm{supp}(T) \subset \{a \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |a| \le \eta_1/2\}$*. Moreover,* $\gamma_{\rho_j} \in \mathbf{D}_\infty$ $(j = 1, 2, \ldots)$ *are determined by the following formal expansion* ($\kappa_4 = H^{-1} + 1$)*;*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon^2\rangle^m}{m!} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\Big\{\varepsilon^{\kappa_4 - 2}\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^{\kappa_4}\rangle + \varepsilon^{\kappa_5 - 2}\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^{\kappa_5}\rangle + \cdots\Big\}^m \\ &= 1 + \varepsilon^{\rho_1}\gamma_{\rho_1} + \varepsilon^{\rho_2}\gamma_{\rho_2} + \cdots .\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $r_1 > 1$ be as in Lemma 8.5. First we show that, for any $\eta_1 > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2\rangle\big) I_{\{|\phi_1^1| \le \eta_1\}}\big] < \infty. \tag{8.24}$$

We can choose a subsequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ such that, as $k \to \infty$, $\varepsilon_k \searrow 0$ and $R_1^{1,\varepsilon_k}/\varepsilon_k \to \phi_1^1$ a.s. To prove (8.24), we apply Fatou's lemma to (8.16) with η_1 replaced by $2\eta_1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\infty &> \liminf_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2\rangle\big) I_{\{\|\varepsilon_k w\|_{m,\alpha-B} \le \eta\}} I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon_k}/\varepsilon_k| \le 2\eta_1\}}\big] \\ &\ge \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2\rangle\big) \liminf_{k\to\infty} I_{\{|R_1^{1,\varepsilon_k}/\varepsilon_k| \le 2\eta_1\}}\big] \ge \mathbb{E}\big[\exp\big(r_1\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2\rangle\big) I_{\{|\phi_1^1| \le \eta_1\}}\big].\end{aligned}$$

From (8.24), it is easy to check that $\exp\big(\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2(w)\rangle\big)\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2) \in \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty$.

Now we expand $\exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2) = \exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2(w)\rangle)\exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2)$ in ε. Set $Q_{l+1} : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ by

$$Q_{l+1}(u) = e^u - \Big(1 + u + \frac{u^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{u^l}{l!}\Big) = u^{l+1}\int_0^1 \frac{(1-\theta)^l}{l!} e^{\theta u} d\theta \qquad (u \in \mathbf{R}).$$

We will prove that, for sufficiently large $l \in \mathbf{N}$, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$,

$$e^{\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2\rangle} Q_{l+1}(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2)\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2) = O(\varepsilon^{\rho_{k+1}}) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty. \tag{8.25}$$

Note that $\chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon}) = O(1)$ in $\mathbf{D}_\infty$ as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ by (8.6). By Proposition 7.2, $R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon^2 = O(\varepsilon^{(1/H)-1})$ in $\mathbf{D}_\infty$. So, if $l + 1 \ge \rho_{k+1}/\{(1/H) - 1\}$, then $(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2)^{l+1} = O(\varepsilon^{\rho_{k+1}})$ in $\mathbf{D}_\infty$. Therefore, in order to verify (8.25), it is sufficient to show that, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$,

$$\int_0^1 (1-\theta)^l e^{\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2 + \theta R_1^{2+,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon^2\rangle} d\theta \cdot \chi_\eta(\varepsilon, w + \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\varepsilon})\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2) = O(1) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty. \tag{8.26}$$

To verify the integrability of this Wiener functional, note that $e^{\theta u} \le 1 + e^u$ for all $u \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 \le \theta \le 1$. This implies that the first factor on the left hand side of (8.26) is dominated by $e^{\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2\rangle} + e^{\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon}\rangle/\varepsilon^2}$. From Lemma 8.5 and (8.24), we see that the left hand side of (8.26) is $O(1)$ in any L^q $(1 < q < \infty)$. In the same way, the Malliavin derivatives of the left hand side of (8.26) are $O(1)$ in any L^q.

It is easy to see that, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{l} \frac{\{1 + \langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2+,\varepsilon} \rangle / \varepsilon^2\}^k}{k!} = 1 + \varepsilon^{\rho_1}\gamma_{\rho_1} + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\rho_k}\gamma_{\rho_k} + O(\varepsilon^{\rho_{k+1}}) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{D}_\infty. \tag{8.27}$$

From this and (8.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &F(\varepsilon, w)\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2) \\ &= \exp\bigl(\langle \bar{\nu}, \phi_1^2(w)\bigr)\psi(|\phi_1^1(w)/\eta_1|^2)\psi\Bigl(\frac{1}{\eta_1^2}\Bigl|\frac{\tilde{y}_1^\varepsilon - a'}{\varepsilon}\Bigr|^2\Bigr)\{1 + \varepsilon^{\rho_1}\gamma_{\rho_1}(w) + \cdots + \varepsilon^{\rho_k}\gamma_{\rho_k}(w)\} \\ &\qquad\qquad + O(\varepsilon^{\rho_{k+1}}) \quad \text{in } \tilde{\mathbf{D}}_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Using (8.22), we finish the proof. ▌

Proof of Theorem 2.2 Here we prove our main theorem in this paper. We set

$$\Lambda_4 = \Lambda_3 + \Lambda_3' = \{\nu + \rho \mid \nu \in \Lambda_3, \rho \in \Lambda_3'\}.$$

We denote by $\{0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots\}$ all the elements of Λ_4 in increasing order. There is no mystery why this index set appears in the short time expansion of the kernel because, very formally speaking, the problem reduces to finding asymptotic behavior of $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\langle \bar{\nu}, R_1^{2,\varepsilon} \rangle/\varepsilon^2) \cdot \delta_0(R_1^{1,\varepsilon}/\varepsilon)]$, as we have seen. Now, by (8.21), Lemma 8.7, and (8.23), we can easily prove Theorem 2.2. ▌

9 Appendix

In this appendix, we show that the result in Baudoin and Ouyang [2] is included in our main result. Let us recall the assumptions in [2]. They set $n = d$ and assume **(A1)** for any starting point $a \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and, moreover, the following assumption **(H)**:

(H): There exist smooth and bounded real-valued functions ω_{ij}^l such that

$$\omega_{ij}^l = -\omega_{il}^j \qquad \text{and} \qquad [V_i, V_j] = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \omega_{ij}^l V_l \qquad \text{for all } 1 \le i, j, l \le d.$$

Note that V_0 does not appear in this condition. Under **(A1)** for any a, $\sigma(a)\sigma(a)^*$ is a $d \times d$ positive symmetric matrix, where $\sigma(a) = [V_1(a), \ldots, V_d(a)]$ as before. As a result, a Riemannian metric tensor $(g_{ij}(a))_{1 \le i,j \le d}$ is defined on $\mathbf{R}^d$ by $g^{ij}(a) = [\sigma(a)\sigma(a)^*]^{ij}$. In terms of Reimannian geometry, **(H)** is equivalent to the condition that $\nabla_X^{LC} Y = [X, Y]$ for all smooth vector fields X, Y, where ∇^{LC} is the Levi-Civita connection for this metric. From this, one can guess that this assumption is not very mild.

In [2], they proved short time kernel asymptotics under these assumptions when a and a' are sufficiently near. In the following proposition, we will prove that the main theorem (Thorem 1.2) in [2] is included in our main result as a special case. (In [2], unlike in this paper, the drift term V_0 is zero. But, it does not make much difference in this proposition.)

Proposition 9.1 *Set $n = d$ and assume* **(A1)** *for any $a \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and* **(H)**. *Then, if a and a' are sufficiently near, the conditions* **(A2)** *and* **(A3)** *are satisfied.*

The rest of the appendix is devoted for showing this proposition. So, we will keep assumption of Proposition 9.1. We basically follow Baudoin-Ouyang's argument, because it is in a sense shown in pp.773–777, [2]. The differences are that (i) we use the Lagrange multiplier method (8.3) and (ii) we give a more detailed proof for existence of a unique "$\mathcal{H}^H$-energy minimizer."

In the following we denote by $d(a, a')$ the Riemannian distance. The following formula is useful;

$$d(a, a') = \sup\bigl\{f(a) - f(a') \mid f \in C_b^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R}), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d} (V_i f)^2 \le 1\bigr\}.$$

Let $a \in \mathbf{R}^n$ be fixed and $\phi^0 = \phi^0(\gamma)$ be as in (7.2) for $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^H$.

It is shown in [2] that, for any a', $d(a, a') = \inf\{\|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}} \mid \gamma \in K_a^{a'}\}$ and infimum is actually attained.

Lemma 9.2 *If a and a' are sufficiently near, there exists $\gamma \in K_a^{a'}$ uniquely such that $d(a, a') = \|\gamma\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. (In other words,* **(A2)** *is satisfied.)*

Proof. In Lemma 4.2, [2], it is shown that $t \mapsto \phi_t^0(\gamma)$ is a geodesics if and only if $\gamma_t = vt$ for some $v \in \mathbf{R}^d$. For some open neighborhood U of $0 \in \mathbf{R}^d (\cong T_a\mathbf{R}^d)$, the map $U \ni v \mapsto \exp(v)$ defines a diffeomorphism onto its image, which is an open neighborhood of a'. Here, exp stands for the exponential map in the sense of Riemannian geometry. The path $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto \exp(tv)$ is the unique shortest geodesics from a to a' and is equal to $t \mapsto \phi_t^0 = \phi_t^0(\gamma)$ with $\gamma_t = vt$. Consider a time change of this path: $t \mapsto \phi^0_{R(t,1)}$. Then, this is equal to $t \mapsto \phi_t^0(\bar{\gamma})$ with $\bar{\gamma}_t = vR(t, 1)$ and $d(a, a') = \|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.3, [2]).

It is sufficient to show that $\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \|k\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ for any other $k \in K_a^{a'}$. First, we prove that, for any partition $\{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_l\}$, it holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} d(\phi^0_{t_{i-1}}(k), \phi^0_{t_i}(k)) \le \|k\|_{\mathcal{H}}. \tag{9.1}$$

It is immediate from (9.1) that, unless $t \mapsto \phi_t^0(k)$ is a time change of the geodesics $t \mapsto \exp(tv)$, the inequality $\|\bar{\gamma}\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \|k\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ holds.

For simplicity, we only show (9.1) when $l = 2$. The general case can be done in the same way. Let us recall some basic facts about Volterra kernel. For example, see Section 2, [2], or Chapter 5, [15], and references therein. Set

$$K_H(s,t) = c_H s^{\frac{1}{2}-H} \int_s^t (u-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} u^{H-\frac{1}{2}} du \qquad (t > s),$$

where c_H is a certain positive constant. Its explicit value is not used in this paper. Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the set of $\mathbf{R}^d$-valued step functions on $[0,1]$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be the Hilbert space defined as the closure of $\mathcal{E}$ by the inner product

$$\langle I_{[0,s]} v, I_{[0,t]} w \rangle_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}} = R(s,t) \langle v, w \rangle_{\mathbf{R}^d}, \qquad (t, s \in [0,1],\ v, w \in \mathbf{R}^d).$$

The unitary isometry $K_H^* : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to L^2([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)$ is given by

$$(K_H^* f)(s) = \int_s^1 f(t) \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) dt.$$

Since $L^2([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)$ is unitarily isometric to Cameron-Martin space $\mathcal{H}$ by an integral operator defined by

$$(K_H h)(t) = \int_0^t K_H(s,t) h(s) ds \qquad (h \in L^2([0,1], \mathbf{R}^d)), \tag{9.2}$$

$\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are also unitarily isometric.

Let $0 < \tau < 1$ and let $k \in \mathcal{H}^H$ be such that $\phi_1^0(k) = a'$. For simplicity, we will write $z_t = \phi_t^0(k)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $f, g \in C_b^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d, \mathbf{R})$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^d (V_i f)^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^d (V_i g)^2 \le 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, z_\tau) + d(z_\tau, a') - 2\varepsilon &\le f(z_\tau) - f(a) + g(a') - g(z_\tau) \\ &\le \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^\tau V_j f(z_s) dk_s^j + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_\tau^1 V_j g(z_s) dk_s^j. \end{aligned} \tag{9.3}$$

Assume $k = K_H h$ as in (9.2). Set $A_j(s,t) = V_j f(z_t) I_{\{0 \le s \le t \le \tau\}} + V_j g(z_t) I_{\{\tau \le t \le 1, 0 \le s \le t\}}$. Then, by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\tau V_j f(z_s) dk_s^j &= \int_0^\tau V_j f(z_t) \Big(\int_0^t \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) h_s^j ds \Big) dt \\ &= \int_{\{0 \le s \le t \le \tau\}} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) A_j(s,t) h_s^j ds dt, \\ \int_\tau^1 V_j g(z_s) dk_s^j &= \int_\tau^1 V_j g(z_t) \Big(\int_0^t \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) h_s^j ds \Big) dt \\ &= \int_{\{\tau \le t \le 1, 0 \le s \le t\}} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) A_j(s,t) h_s^j ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

So, the right hand side of (9.3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{\{0\le s\le t\le 1\}} \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) A_j(s,t) h^j_s ds dt \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_0^1 \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) A_j(s,t) dt \Bigr) h^j_s ds \\
&\le \Bigl\{ \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^{d} \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) |A_j(s,t)| dt \Bigr)^2 ds \Bigr\}^{\frac12} \|h\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \Bigl\{ \int_0^1 \sum_{j=1}^{d} \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) |A_j(s,t)| dt \Bigr) \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t') |A_j(s,t')| dt' \Bigr) ds \Bigr\}^{\frac12} \|h\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \Bigl\{ \int_0^1 \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) dt \Bigr) \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t') dt' \Bigr) ds \Bigr\}^{\frac12} \|h\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \Bigl\{ \int_0^1 \Bigl(\int_s^1 \frac{\partial K_H}{\partial t}(s,t) dt \Bigr)^2 ds \Bigr\}^{\frac12} \|h\|_{L^2} \\
&\le \|K_H^* \mathbf{1}\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{L^2} = \|\mathbf{1}\|_{\mathcal{H}^H} \|h\|_{L^2} = R(1,1)\|h\|_{L^2} = \|h\|_{L^2} = \|k\|_{\mathcal{H}^H}. \qquad (9.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Here, $\mathbf{1}$ stands for the constant function. Note that we used the unitary isometry of Hilbert spaces and the Schwarz inequality

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} |A_j(s,t)||A_j(s,t')| \le \Bigl\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} |A_j(s,t)|^2 \Bigr\}^{\frac12} \Bigl\{ \sum_{j=1}^{d} |A_j(s,t')|^2 \Bigr\}^{\frac12} \le 1 \qquad (\text{ for any } s, t, t').$$

Thus, we have shown (9.1) for $l = 2$. The proof for general l is essentially the same.

It is easy to see from (9.1) that, unless $t \mapsto z_t = \phi^0_t(k)$ is a time change of the geodesics $t \mapsto \exp(tv)$, it holds that $d(a,a') < \|k\|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $[0,1] \ni t \mapsto \lambda_t \in [0,1]$ be a continuous and increasing function such that $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) = (0,1)$ and $\lambda \in \mathcal{H}^H([0,1],\mathbf{R})$. Then, $\theta_t := \exp(\lambda_t v)$ satisfies $\theta_t = \phi^0_t(\lambda . v)$, or equivalently,

$$d\theta_t = \sum_{j=1}^{d} V_j(\theta_t) v^j d\lambda_t, \qquad \text{with } \theta_0 = a.$$

We have already seen that, for $\lambda = R(\,\cdot\,,1)$, the equality $d(a,a') = \|\lambda v\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ holds. It is sufficient to show that this equality fails for any other λ. Such a λ can be decomposed as $\lambda = R(\,\cdot\,,1) + \hat{\lambda}$, where $\hat{\lambda} \in \mathcal{H}^H([0,1],\mathbf{R})$ with $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 0$. It is sufficient to show that $R(\,\cdot\,,1)$ and $\hat{\lambda}$ are orthogonal in $\mathcal{H}^H([0,1],\mathbf{R})$, because it implies $\|\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}^H} \ge \|R(\,\cdot\,,1)\|_{\mathcal{H}^H}$. Let $\hat{h} \in L^2([0,1],\mathbf{R})$ be such that $K_H \hat{h} = \hat{\lambda}$. From a well-known formula,

$$R(t,T) = \int_0^t K_H(t,s) K_H(T,s) ds \qquad (t \le T),$$

we see that $R(\,\cdot\,,1) = K_H[K_H(1,\,\cdot\,)]$. Consequently,

$$\langle R(\,\cdot\,,1), \hat{\lambda}\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^H} = \langle K_H(1,\,\cdot\,), \hat{h}\rangle_{L^2} = \int_0^1 K_H(1,s)\hat{h}_s ds = \hat{\lambda}_1 = 0, \tag{9.5}$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 9.2. ▌

Next we will show **(A3)** when a and a' is sufficiently near. Combined with Lemma 9.2, this lemma implies Proposition 9.1.

Lemma 9.3 *Let $\gamma \in K_a^{a'}$ be the unique energy minimizer as in Lemma 9.2. If a and a' are sufficiently near, then* **(A3)** *is satisfied. (Note that a and a' may be nearer than in Lemma 9.2.)*

Proof. As in (the proof of) Lemma 9.2, a' moves in a relatively compact neighborhood V contained in the geodesic coordinate centered at a. Recall that the correspondence

$$V \ni a' \mapsto v \mapsto \bar{\gamma} = R(\,\cdot\,,1)v \in \mathcal{H}^H \mapsto \bar{\nu}.$$

It is sufficient to show that, if V is taken small enough, then **(A3)'** is satisfied. Note that $\bar{\nu}$ is uniquely obtained from $\bar{\gamma}$ as in (8.2)–(8.3). The only problem here is whether $|\bar{\nu}|$ is small enough if V is small enough.

First note that as a' varies in a relatively compact set, $\phi_1^2(\bar{\gamma})$ and $D\phi_1^0(\bar{\gamma}) = \phi_1^0(\bar{\gamma})\langle\,\cdot\,\rangle$ are bounded. Moreover, the positive constant $c = c(\bar{\gamma})$ in (8.1), with γ replaced by $\bar{\gamma}$, is bounded away from zero.

By substituting $D\phi_{1,j}^0(\bar{\gamma})$ $(1 \le j \le d)$ for k, we obtain from (8.3) that

$$\big(\langle\bar{\gamma}, D\phi_{1,1}^0(\bar{\gamma})\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^H}, \ldots, \langle\bar{\gamma}, D\phi_{1,d}^0(\bar{\gamma})\rangle_{\mathcal{H}^H}\big)C^{-1} = (\bar{\nu}^1, \ldots, \bar{\nu}^d),$$

where C stands for the covariance matrix in (8.1). It is immediate from this representation that we can make $|\bar{\nu}|$ sufficiently small by taking V is small enough. ▌

Remark 9.4 *Neither in [2] nor in this appendix is a quantitative estimate of how near a and a' given explicitly. Hence, very precisely speaking, it is not completely shown that the result in [2] is included in ours.*

Remark 9.5 *If we assume* **(A1)** *everywhere, then a Riemannian structure on $\mathbf{R}^n$ is naturally induced as we explained above. If the case of the usual stochastic analysis (i.e., $H = 1/2$),* **(A2)** *and* **(A3)** *have a geometric meaning. (See Remark 3.2, [20], which was originally in [14, 4].) First,* **(A2)** *means that there is a unique shortest geodesics between a and a'. Second,* **(A3)** *or* **(A3)'** *means that these two points are not conjugate along the geodesics. So, Assumptions* **(A1)–(A3)** *are very mild and cover a lot of examples.*

It seems natural to guess from this that, in our case (i.e., $1/2 < H < 1$), too, Assumptions **(A1)–(A3)** *are not bad. At this moment, however, the author does not have a nice example except the one in this Appendix.*

References

[1] Baudoin, F.; Hairer, M.; A version of Hörmander's theorem for the fractional Brownian motion. Probab. Theory Related Fields 139 (2007), no. 3-4, 373–395.

[2] Baudoin, F.; Ouyang, C.; Small-time kernel expansion for solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motions. Stochastic Process. Appl. 121 (2011), no. 4, 759–792.

[3] Baudoin, F.; Ouyang, C.; Gradient bounds for solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motions. Preprint. arXiv:1102.4601.

[4] Bismut, J.-M.; Large deviations and the Malliavin calculus. Progress in Mathematics, 45. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1984.

[5] Chronopoulou, A.; Tindel, S.; On inference for fractional differential equations. Preprint. ArXiv Math. 1104.3996.

[6] Ciesielski, Z.; Kerkyacharian, G.; Roynette, B.; Quelques espaces fonctionnels associés à des processus gaussiens. Studia Math. 107 (1993), no. 2, 171–204.

[7] Hu, Y.; Nualart, D.; Differential equations driven by Hölder continuous functions of order greater than 1/2. Stochastic analysis and applications, 399–413, Abel Symp., 2, Springer, Berlin, 2007.

[8] Ikeda, N.; Watanabe, S.; Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes. Second edition. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Kodansha, Ltd., Tokyo, 1989.

[9] Kuo, H.-H.; Gaussian measures in Banach spaces. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 463. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.

[10] Lejay, A.; Controlled differential equations as Young integrals: a simple approach. J. Differential Equations 249 (2010), no. 8, 1777–1798.

[11] Li, X.-D.; Lyons, T. J.; Smoothness of Itô maps and diffusion processes on path spaces. I. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 39 (2006), no. 4, 649–677.

[12] Lyons, T. J.; Differential equations driven by rough signals. I. An extension of an inequality of L. C. Young. Math. Res. Lett. 1 (1994), no. 4, 451–464.

[13] Lyons, T.; Qian, Z.; System control and rough paths. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.

[14] Molchanov, S. A.; Diffusion processes, and Riemannian geometry. Russian Math. Surveys 30 (1975), no. 1, 1–63.

[15] Nualart, D.; The Malliavin calculus and related topics. Second edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[16] Nualart, D.; Răşcanu, A.; Differential equations driven by fractional Brownian motion. Collect. Math. 53 (2002), no. 1, 55–81.

[17] Nualart, D.; Saussereau, B.; Malliavin calculus for stochastic differential equations driven by a fractional Brownian motion. Stochastic Process. Appl. 119 (2009), no. 2, 391–409.

[18] Pratelli, M.; A remark on the $1/H$-variation of the fractional Brownian motion, Séminaire de Probabilités Vol. 43, Lecture Notes Math. 2006 (2011). 215–219.

[19] Shigekawa, I.; Stochastic analysis. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 224. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[20] Watanabe, S.; Analysis of Wiener functionals (Malliavin calculus) and its applications to heat kernels. Ann. Probab. 15 (1987), no. 1, 1–39.